## United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 11-7029

September Term 2010

1:09-cv-01676-BAH

Filed On: July 21, 2011

Libertarian Party, et al.,

**Appellants** 

٧.

District of Columbia Board of Elections and Ethics, et al.,

**Appellees** 

**BEFORE:** Ginsburg, Rogers, and Brown, Circuit Judges

## ORDER

Upon consideration of the motions for summary affirmance, the opposition thereto, and the replies, it is

**ORDERED** that the motions be denied. The merits of the parties' positions are not so clear as to warrant summary action. See <u>Taxpayers Watchdog, Inc. v. Stanley</u>, 819 F.2d 294, 297 (D.C. Cir. 1987) (per curiam).

Because the court has determined that summary disposition is not in order, the Clerk is instructed to calendar this case for presentation to a merits panel.

Per Curiam