Case: 09-56827 05/13/2011 Page: 1 of 1 ID: 7754026 DktEntry: 50

LAW OFFICE OF OLIVER B. HALL, P.A.

1835 16TH STREET, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20009 (617) 953-0161 • OLIVERBHALL@GMAIL.COM RECEIVED

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

MAY 1 3 2011

FILED	
DATE .	INITIAL

David A. DeJute Assistant United States Attorney Room 7516 Federal Building 300 North Los Angeles Street Los Angeles, CA 90012

May 9, 2011,

Dear Mr. DeJute,

I write on behalf of my client, consumer advocate and former presidential candidate Ralph Nader, in response to your representations regarding Mr. Nader in a May 2, 2011 hearing before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals in *Drake v. Obama*, No. 09-56827. Specifically, you stated as follows:

There have been a series of cases that Ralph Nader has brought in challenging qualifications of other candidates. He's done so during the course of the election.

See Drake v. Obama, No. 09-56827 (audio transcript of May 2, 2011 hearing) available at http://www.ca9.uscourts.gov/media/view_subpage.php?pk_id=0000007341 (last visited May 5, 2011). Those statements are incorrect.

Please be advised that Mr. Nader has never initiated a legal or administrative proceeding to challenge the qualifications of any candidate for public office. By contrast, during the 2004 election, Mr. Nader <u>defended</u> no fewer than 24 complaints challenging his eligibility to appear on state ballots as an independent candidate for President of the United States. In addition, in furtherance of his advocacy for a more robust and competitive democracy, Mr. Nader has successfully challenged laws restricting ballot access and limiting voter choice. *See*, *e.g.*, *Nader v. Brewer*, 531 F.3d 1028 (9th Cir. 2008) (holding Arizona election law unconstitutional); *Nader v. Blackwell*, 545. F.3d 459 (6th Cir. 2008) (holding Ohio election law unconstitutional).

Sincerely,

Oliver B. Hall

cc: Ralph Nader

Judge Harry Pregerson Judge Marsha Siegel Berzon Judge Raymond C. Fisher