

1 DAN SIEGEL, SBN 56400
2 MICHAEL SIEGEL, SBN 269439
3 SIEGEL & YEE
4 499 14th Street, Suite 300
5 Oakland, California 94612
6 Telephone: (510) 839-1200
7 Telefax: (510) 444-6698

8 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
9 MICHAEL RUBIN, *et al.*

EMBRASED
FILED
ALAMEDA COUNTY

MAY 21 2013

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
By Angela Yamsuan

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

MICHAEL RUBIN, MANJA ARGUE,
STEVE COLLETT, MARSHA FEINLAND,
CHARLES L. HOOPER, KATHERINE
TANAKA, C. T. WEBER, CAT WOODS,
GREEN PARTY OF ALAMEDA COUNTY,
LIBERTARIAN PARTY OF CALIFORNIA,
and PEACE AND FREEDOM PARTY OF
CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DEBRA BOWEN, in her official capacity as
Secretary of State of California,

Defendant.

Case No. RG11605301

**PLAINTIFFS' REQUEST FOR
JUDICIAL NOTICE IN
OPPOSITION TO DEMURRERS OF
DEFENDANT AND INTERVENER-
DEFENDANTS**

Hearing: June 4, 2013
Time: 9:00 a.m.
Department: 16

Assigned for all Purposes to
Hon. Lawrence John Appel

Suit filed: November 21, 2011
Trial date: February 18, 2014

INDEPENDENT VOTER PROJECT,
DAVID TAKASHIMA, ABEL
MALDONADO, and CALIFORNIANS TO
DEFEND THE OPEN PRIMARY,

Intervener-Defendants.

1 In opposition to the demurrers of defendant and intervener-defendants, plaintiffs
2 hereby request, pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.1306(c), that the Court take
3 judicial notice of the following materials, copies of which are attached hereto:

4 Exhibit A: Report entitled, "SB 6 Senate Bill – History," published by the
5 Legislative Counsel of California via its website. (See [www.leginfo.ca.gov/pub/09-](http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/pub/09-10/bill/sen/sb_0001-0050/sb_6_bill_20090220_history.html)
6 [10/bill/sen/sb_0001-0050/sb_6_bill_20090220_history.html](http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/pub/09-10/bill/sen/sb_0001-0050/sb_6_bill_20090220_history.html).) As an official
7 publication of the Legislative Counsel of California, the report is subject to judicial
8 notice. Evid. C. §452(c).

9
10 Exhibit B: Senate Bill entitled entitled, "Senate Bill No. 6," published by the
11 Legislative Counsel of California via its website. (See
12 [http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/pub/09-10/bill/sen/sb_0001-](http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/pub/09-10/bill/sen/sb_0001-0050/sb_6_bill_20081201_introduced.html)
13 [0050/sb_6_bill_20081201_introduced.html](http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/pub/09-10/bill/sen/sb_0001-0050/sb_6_bill_20081201_introduced.html).) As an official publication of the
14 Legislative Counsel of California, the report is subject to judicial notice. Evid. C. §452(c).

15
16 Exhibit C: Senate Bill entitled entitled, "Senate Bill No. 6 – Amended in Senate
17 February 19, 2009," published by the Legislative Counsel of California via its website.
18 (See [http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/pub/09-10/bill/sen/sb_0001-](http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/pub/09-10/bill/sen/sb_0001-0050/sb_6_bill_20090219_amended_sen_v98.html)
19 [0050/sb_6_bill_20090219_amended_sen_v98.html](http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/pub/09-10/bill/sen/sb_0001-0050/sb_6_bill_20090219_amended_sen_v98.html).) As an official publication of the
20 Legislative Counsel of California, the report is subject to judicial notice. Evid. C. §452(c).

21
22 Exhibit D: Excerpts from Report entitled, "Statement of Vote: June 5, 2012,
23 Presidential Primary Election," published by the California Secretary of State via her
24 website. (See [http://www.sos.ca.gov/elections/sov/2012-primary/pdf/2012-complete-](http://www.sos.ca.gov/elections/sov/2012-primary/pdf/2012-complete-sov.pdf)
25 [sov.pdf](http://www.sos.ca.gov/elections/sov/2012-primary/pdf/2012-complete-sov.pdf).) As an official publication of the Secretary of State, the report is subject to
26 judicial notice. Evid. C. §452(c).

1 Exhibit E: Excerpts from Report entitled, "Statement of Vote: November 6, 2012,
2 General Election," published by the California Secretary of State via her website. (See
3 <http://www.sos.ca.gov/elections/sov/2012-general/sov-complete.pdf>.) As an official
4 publication of the Secretary of State, the report is subject to judicial notice. Evid. C.
5 §452(c).
6

7
8 Dated: May 21, 2013

SIEGEL & YEE

9
10
11 By 

12 Michael Siegel
13 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT A

COMPLETE BILL HISTORY

BILL NUMBER : S.B. No. 6
AUTHOR : Maldonado
TOPIC : Elections: primaries.

TYPE OF BILL :

Inactive
Non-Urgency
Non-Appropriations
Majority Vote Required
State-Mandated Local Program
Fiscal
Non-Tax Levy

BILL HISTORY

2009

Feb. 20 Chaptered by Secretary of State. Chapter 1, Statutes of 2009.
Feb. 20 Approved by Governor.
Feb. 19 Enrolled. To Governor at 4 p.m.
Feb. 19 In Senate. To enrollment.
Feb. 19 In Assembly. Read first time. Art. IV, Sec. 8(b), of Constitution dispensed with. (Ayes 66. Noes 2. Page 358.) Read second time. Read third time. Passed. (Ayes 46. Noes 22. Page 359.) To Senate.
Feb. 19 Art. IV, Sec. 8(b), of Constitution dispensed with. (Ayes 27. Noes 9. Page 166.) Read second time. Read third time. Amended. (Page 167.) Senate Rule 29.3 suspended. (Page 167.) Read third time. Passed. (Ayes 27. Noes 11. Page 169.) To Assembly.
Feb. 18 Withdrawn from committee. Placed on second reading file.
Jan. 29 To Com. on EQ.

2008

Dec. 2 From print. May be acted upon on or after January 1.
Dec. 1 Introduced. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.

EXHIBIT B

Introduced by Senator Maldonado

December 1, 2008

An act to repeal and add Section 25214.8 of the Health and Safety Code, relating to hazardous waste.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 6, as introduced, Maldonado. Hazardous material: vehicles: mercury-containing switches.

(1) Existing law requires any mercury-containing vehicle light switch, as defined, that is removed from a vehicle to be subject to the regulations adopted by the Department of Toxic Substances Control regarding the management of universal waste and other applicable regulations, and requires the department to take actions with regard to the safe removal and disposal of those switches. The department is required to submit a specified report, by January 1, 2004, to the Legislature regarding these requirements. Existing law provides that a violation of the Hazardous Waste Control Law is a crime.

This bill would repeal that obsolete reporting provision and would require automobile dismantlers and owners or operators of junkyards to ensure the proper removal of mercury switches from end-of-life vehicles prior to their destruction. The bill would require these entities to inspect end-of-life vehicles prior to their destruction to ensure that mercury switches were removed. The bill would specifically provide that automobile dealers and manufacturers are not responsible for the removal of mercury switches from end-of-life vehicles. The bill would define specified terms for the purposes of the bill. Because a violation of the above requirement is also a violation of the Hazardous Waste Control Law, this bill would create a new crime, thereby imposing a state-mandated local program.

(2) The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes.
State-mandated local program: yes.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. Section 25214.8 of the Health and Safety Code
2 is repealed.

3 ~~25214.8. On or before January 1, 2004, the department shall~~
4 ~~report to the appropriate policy and fiscal committees of the~~
5 ~~Legislature on both of the following:~~

6 ~~(a) The success of efforts to remove mercury-containing vehicle~~
7 ~~light switches from vehicles pursuant to Section 25214.6:~~

8 ~~(b) Compliance with the requirement to remove~~
9 ~~mercury-containing appliance switches pursuant to Section 42175~~
10 ~~of the Public Resources Code.~~

11 SEC. 2. Section 25214.8 is added to the Health and Safety
12 Code, to read:

13 25214.8. (a) (1) An automobile dismantler, as defined in
14 Section 220 of the Vehicle Code, or an owner or operator of a
15 junkyard, as defined in subdivision (c) of Section 746 of the Streets
16 and Highways Code, shall ensure that all mercury switches in an
17 end-of-life vehicle are properly removed prior to the destruction
18 of that vehicle.

19 (2) An automobile dismantler, as defined in Section 220 of the
20 Vehicle Code, or an owner or operator of a junkyard, as defined
21 in subdivision (c) of Section 746 of the Streets and Highways
22 Code, shall inspect an end-of-life vehicle to ensure that all mercury
23 switches have been removed from the vehicle prior to destruction
24 of that vehicle.

25 (b) A dealer, as defined in Section 285 of the Vehicle Code, or
26 an automobile manufacturer shall not be responsible for the
27 removal of mercury switches from an end-of-life vehicle.

28 (c) For the purposes of this section, the following terms mean
29 the following:

1 (1) "End-of-life vehicle" means a vehicle that is sold, given, or
2 otherwise conveyed to an automobile dismantler, or an owner or
3 operator of a junkyard.

4 (2) "Mercury switch" means a mercury light switch, a mercury
5 relay, as defined in paragraph (3) of subdivision (b) of Section
6 25214.8.1, or a mercury switch, as defined in paragraph (4) of
7 subdivision (b) of Section 25214.8.1.

8 SEC. 3. No reimbursement is required by this act pursuant to
9 Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution because
10 the only costs that may be incurred by a local agency or school
11 district will be incurred because this act creates a new crime or
12 infraction, eliminates a crime or infraction, or changes the penalty
13 for a crime or infraction, within the meaning of Section 17556 of
14 the Government Code, or changes the definition of a crime within
15 the meaning of Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California
16 Constitution.

EXHIBIT C

AMENDED IN SENATE FEBRUARY 19, 2009

SENATE BILL

No. 6

Introduced by Senator Maldonado

December 1, 2008

~~An act to repeal and add Section 25214.8 of the Health and Safety Code, relating to hazardous waste. An act to amend Sections 13, 334, 337, 2150, 2151, 2152, 2154, 8025, 8062, 8068, 8081, 8121, 8124, 8142, 8148, 8150, 8300, 8550, 8600, 8605, 8805, 8807, 10705, 10706, 12108, 13102, 13105, 13110, 13206, 13207, 13208, 13230, 13300, 13302, 13305, 15451, 15452, 15670, 15671, 19300, and 19301 of, to amend Part 1 of Division 7 of, to add Sections 300.5, 325, 332.5, 338.5, 359.5, 8002.5, 8005, 8141.5, 8606, 9083.5, 9084.5, 13109.5, and 14105.1 to, to add Chapter 0.5 (commencing with Section 6000) to Part 1 of Division 6 of, to amend and renumber Section 6000 of, to repeal and add Section 8125 of, to repeal Sections 8802 and 8806 of, the Elections Code, and to amend Section 88001 of the Government Code, relating to elections.~~

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 6, as amended, Maldonado. ~~Hazardous material: vehicles: mercury-containing switches Elections: primaries.~~

Existing provisions of the California Constitution require the Legislature to provide for primary elections for partisan offices, including an open presidential primary election, as specified. The California Constitution also provides that all judicial, school, county, and city offices are nonpartisan offices, and a political party or party central committee is prohibited from endorsing, supporting, or opposing a candidate for these offices.

This measure would permit a voter, at the time of registration, to choose whether or not to disclose a party preference. This measure would also provide that a voter may vote for the candidate of his or her choosing in the primary election, regardless of his or her disclosure or non-disclosure of party preference.

This measure would provide for a "voter-nominated primary election" for each state elective office and congressional office in California, in which a voter may vote at the primary election for any candidate for congressional or state elective office without regard to the political party preference disclosed by either the candidate or the voter. The 2 candidates receiving the 2 highest vote totals for each office at a primary election, regardless of party preference, would then compete for the office at the ensuing general election.

The measure would further provide that a candidate for a congressional or state elective office generally may choose whether to have his or her political party preference indicated upon the ballot for that office in the manner to be provided by statute.

This measure would not change existing law as it relates to presidential primaries.

Because this bill would change the duties of local elections officials, it would impose a state-mandated local program.

The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to these statutory provisions.

This bill would provide that it would become operative only if SCA 4 is approved by the voters.

~~(1) Existing law requires any mercury-containing vehicle light switch, as defined, that is removed from a vehicle to be subject to the regulations adopted by the Department of Toxic Substances Control regarding the management of universal waste and other applicable regulations, and requires the department to take actions with regard to the safe removal and disposal of those switches. The department is required to submit a specified report, by January 1, 2004, to the Legislature regarding these requirements. Existing law provides that a violation of the Hazardous Waste Control Law is a crime.~~

~~This bill would repeal that obsolete reporting provision and would require automobile dismantlers and owners or operators of junkyards to ensure the proper removal of mercury switches from end-of-life vehicles prior to their destruction. The bill would require these entities to inspect end-of-life vehicles prior to their destruction to ensure that mercury switches were removed. The bill would specifically provide that automobile dealers and manufacturers are not responsible for the removal of mercury switches from end-of-life vehicles. The bill would define specified terms for the purposes of the bill. Because a violation of the above requirement is also a violation of the Hazardous Waste Control Law, this bill would create a new crime, thereby imposing a state-mandated local program.~~

~~(2) The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.~~

~~This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.~~

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes.
State-mandated local program: yes.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. Section 13 of the Elections Code is amended to
2 read:

3 13. (a) No person shall be considered a legally qualified
4 candidate for any ~~office or office~~, for party nomination for a
5 partisan office, or for nomination to participate in the general
6 election for any voter-nominated office, under the laws of this state
7 unless that person has filed a declaration of candidacy or statement
8 of write-in candidacy with the proper official for the particular
9 election or primary, or is entitled to have his or her name placed
10 on a general election ballot by reason of having been nominated
11 at a primary election, or having been selected to fill a vacancy on
12 the general election ballot as provided in Section 8806, or having
13 been selected as an independent candidate pursuant to Section
14 8304.

15 (b) Nothing in this section shall be construed as preventing or
16 prohibiting any qualified voter of this state from casting a ballot
17 for any person by writing the name of that person on the ballot, or
18 from having that ballot counted or tabulated, nor shall any

1 provision of this section be construed as preventing or prohibiting
2 any person from standing or campaigning for any elective office
3 by means of a “write-in” campaign. However, nothing in this
4 section shall be construed as an exception to the requirements of
5 Section 15341.

6 (c) It is the intent of the Legislature, in enacting this section, to
7 enable the Federal Communications Commission to determine
8 who is a “legally qualified candidate” in this state for the purposes
9 of administering Section 315 of Title 47 of the United States Code.

10 *SEC. 2. Section 300.5 is added to the Elections Code, to read:*

11 *300.5. “Affiliated with a political party” as used in reference*
12 *to a voter or to a candidate for a voter-nominated office means*
13 *the party preference that the voter or candidate has disclosed on*
14 *his or her affidavit of registration.*

15 *SEC. 3. Section 325 is added to the Elections Code, to read:*

16 *325. “Independent status” means a voter’s indication of “No*
17 *Party Preference” as provided in Section 2151 and Section 2154.*

18 *SEC. 4. Section 332.5 is added to the Elections Code, to read:*

19 *332.5. “Nominate” means the selection, at a state-conducted*
20 *primary election, of candidates who are entitled by law to*
21 *participate in the general election for that office, but does not*
22 *mean any other lawful mechanism that a political party may adopt*
23 *for the purposes of choosing the candidate who is preferred by the*
24 *party for a nonpartisan or voter nominated office.*

25 *SEC. 5. Section 334 of the Elections Code is amended to read:*

26 *334. “Nonpartisan office” means an office, except for a*
27 *voter-nominated office, for which no party may nominate a*
28 *candidate. Judicial, school, county, and municipal offices are*
29 *nonpartisan offices.*

30 *SEC. 6. Section 337 of the Elections Code is amended to read:*

31 *337. “Partisan office” or “party nominated office” means an*
32 *office for which a party may nominate a candidate. any of the*
33 *following offices:*

34 *(a) President of the United States, Vice President of the United*
35 *States, and the delegates therefor.*

36 *(b) Elected member of a party committee.*

37 *SEC. 7. Section 359.5 is added to the Elections Code, to read:*

38 *359.5. (a) “Voter-nominated office” means a congressional*
39 *or state elective office for which any candidate may choose to have*
40 *his or her party preference or lack of party preference indicated*

1 upon the ballot. A political party or party central committee shall
2 not nominate a candidate at a state-conducted primary election
3 for a voter-nominated office. The primary conducted for a
4 voter-nominated office does not serve to determine the nominees
5 of a political party but serves to winnow the number of candidates
6 to a final list of two for the general election. The following offices
7 are voter-nominated offices:

8 (1) Governor.

9 (2) Lieutenant Governor.

10 (3) Secretary of State.

11 (4) State Treasurer.

12 (5) Controller.

13 (6) State Insurance Commissioner.

14 (7) Member of the Board of Equalization.

15 (8) Attorney General.

16 (9) State Senator.

17 (10) Member of the Assembly.

18 (11) United States Senator.

19 (12) Member of the United States House of Representatives.

20 (b) This section does not prohibit a political party or party
21 central committee from endorsing, supporting, or opposing a
22 candidate for a candidate listed in subdivision (a).

23 SEC. 8. Section 2150 of the Elections Code is amended to read:

24 2150. (a) The affidavit of registration shall show:

25 (1) The facts necessary to establish the affiant as an elector.

26 (2) The affiant's name at length, including his or her given
27 name, and a middle name or initial, or if the initial of the given
28 name is customarily used, then the initial and middle name. The
29 affiant's given name may be preceded, at affiant's option, by the
30 designation of Miss, Ms., Mrs., or Mr. A person shall not be denied
31 the right to register because of his or her failure to mark a prefix
32 to the given name and shall be so advised on the voter registration
33 card. This subdivision shall not be construed as requiring the
34 printing of prefixes on an affidavit of registration.

35 (3) The affiant's place of residence, residence telephone number,
36 if furnished, and e-mail address, if furnished. No person shall be
37 denied the right to register because of his or her failure to furnish
38 a telephone number or e-mail address, and shall be so advised on
39 the voter registration card.

1 (4) The affiant's mailing address, if different from the place of
2 residence.

3 (5) The affiant's date of birth to establish that he or she will be
4 at least 18 years of age on or before the date of the next election.

5 (6) The state or country of the affiant's birth.

6 (7) (A) In the case of an applicant who has been issued a current
7 and valid driver's license, the applicant's driver's license number.

8 (B) In the case of any other applicant, other than an applicant
9 to whom subparagraph (C) applies, the last four digits of the
10 applicant's social security number.

11 (C) If an applicant for voter registration has not been issued a
12 current and valid driver's license or a social security number, the
13 state shall assign the applicant a number that will serve to identify
14 the applicant for voter registration purposes. To the extent that the
15 state has a computerized list in effect under this subdivision and
16 the list assigns unique identifying numbers to registrants, the
17 number assigned under this subparagraph shall be the unique
18 identifying number assigned under the list.

19 (8) The affiant's political party *affiliation preference*.

20 (9) That the affiant is currently not imprisoned or on parole for
21 the conviction of a felony.

22 (10) A prior registration portion indicating whether the affiant
23 has been registered at another address, under another name, or as
24 ~~intending to affiliate with~~ *preferring* another party. If the affiant
25 has been so registered, he or she shall give an additional statement
26 giving that address, name, or party.

27 (b) The affiant shall certify the content of the affidavit as to its
28 truth and correctness, under penalty of perjury, with the signature
29 of his or her name and the date of signing. If the affiant is unable
30 to write he or she shall sign with a mark or cross.

31 (c) The affidavit of registration shall also contain a space that
32 would enable the affiant to state his or her ethnicity or race, or
33 both. An affiant may not be denied the ability to register because
34 he or she declines to state his or her ethnicity or race.

35 (d) If any person, including a deputy registrar, assists the affiant
36 in completing the affidavit, that person shall sign and date the
37 affidavit below the signature of the affiant.

38 (e) The affidavit of registration shall also contain a space to
39 permit the affiant to apply for permanent vote by mail status.

1 (f) The Secretary of State may continue to supply existing
2 affidavits of registration to county elections officials prior to
3 printing new or revised forms that reflect the changes made to this
4 section by the act that added this subdivision.

5 *SEC. 9. Section 2151 of the Elections Code is amended to read:*

6 2151. (a) At the time of registering and of transferring
7 registration, each elector may ~~declare~~ *disclose* the name of the
8 political party ~~with which that~~ he or she intends to affiliate at the
9 ensuing primary election *prefers*. The name of that political party
10 shall be stated in the affidavit of registration and the index.

11 (b) (1) The voter registration card shall inform the affiant that
12 any elector may decline to state a political ~~affiliation party~~
13 *reference*, but no person shall be entitled to vote the ballot of any
14 political party at any primary election *for President of the United*
15 *States or for a party committee* unless he or she has ~~stated~~ *disclosed*
16 the name of the party ~~with which that~~ he or she intends to affiliate
17 *prefers* or unless he or she has declined to ~~state~~ *disclose* a party
18 ~~affiliation preference~~ and the political party, by party rule duly
19 noticed to the Secretary of State, authorizes a person who has
20 declined to ~~state~~ *disclose* a party ~~affiliation preference~~ to vote the
21 ballot of that political party. *The voter registration card shall*
22 *further inform the affiant that any registered voter may vote for*
23 *any candidate at a primary election for state elective office or*
24 *congressional office, regardless of the disclosed party preference*
25 *of the registrant or the candidate seeking that office or the refusal*
26 *of the registrant or candidate to disclose a party preference. This*
27 *notice shall be printed in 12 point Times New Roman font.*

28 (2) The voter registration card shall include a listing of all
29 qualified political parties. *The voter registration card shall include*
30 *a listing of all qualified political parties. As part of that listing,*
31 *the voter registration card shall also contain an option designated*
32 *"No Party Preference." This option shall be placed at the*
33 *beginning of the listing of qualified political parties.*

34 (c) No person shall be permitted to vote the ballot of any party
35 or for any delegates to the convention of any party other than the
36 party ~~designated~~ *disclosed as preferred* in his or her registration,
37 except as provided by Section 2152 or unless he or she has declined
38 to ~~state~~ *disclose* a party ~~affiliation preference~~ and the party, by
39 party rule duly noticed to the Secretary of State, authorizes a person

1 who has declined to state a party affiliation to vote the party ballot
2 or for delegates to the party convention.

3 *(d) As of the effective date of the statute that added this*
4 *subdivision, any voter who previously stated a political party*
5 *affiliation when registering to vote shall be deemed to have*
6 *disclosed that same party as his or her a political party preference*
7 *unless the voter files a new affidavit of registration disclosing a*
8 *different political party preference or no political party preference.*
9 *Any voter who previously declined to state a party affiliation shall*
10 *be deemed to have chosen the "No Party Preference" option unless*
11 *the voter files a new affidavit of registration disclosing a different*
12 *political party preference.*

13 *SEC. 10. Section 2152 of the Elections Code is amended to*
14 *read:*

15 2152. Whenever any voter has declined to ~~designate~~ disclose
16 or has changed his or her ~~political affiliation~~ party preference prior
17 to the close of registration for an election, he or she may either so
18 ~~designate~~ disclose or have a change recorded by executing a new
19 affidavit of registration and completing the prior registration
20 portion of the affidavit.

21 *SEC. 11. Section 2154 of the Elections Code is amended to*
22 *read:*

23 2154. In the event that the county elections official receives
24 an affidavit of registration that does not include portions of the
25 information for which space is provided, the county elections
26 official voters shall apply the following rebuttable presumptions:

27 (a) If no middle name or initial is shown, it shall be presumed
28 that none exists.

29 (b) If no party ~~affiliation~~ preference is shown, it shall be
30 presumed that the affiant has ~~no party affiliation~~ chosen the "No
31 Party Preference" designation.

32 (c) If no execution date is shown, it shall be presumed that the
33 affidavit was executed on or before the 15th day prior to the
34 election, provided that (1) the affidavit is received by the county
35 elections official on or before the 15th day prior to the election,
36 or (2) the affidavit is postmarked on or before the 15th day prior
37 to the election and received by mail by the county elections official.

38 (d) If the affiant fails to identify his or her state of birth within
39 the United States, it shall be presumed that the affiant was born in
40 a state or territory of the United States if the birthplace of the

1 affiant is shown as “United States,” “U.S.A.,” or other recognizable
2 term designating the United States.

3 *SEC. 12. Section 6000 of the Elections Code is amended and*
4 *renumbered to read:*

5 ~~6000.~~

6 *6000a. This chapter shall be known and may be cited as the*
7 *“Alquist Open Presidential Primary Act.”*

8 *SEC. 13. Chapter 0.5 (commencing with Section 6000) is added*
9 *to Part 1 of Division 6 of the Elections Code, to read:*

10
11 *CHAPTER 0.5. GENERAL PROVISIONS*

12
13 *6000. All references to a voter’s or candidate’s party*
14 *“registration” or “affiliation” in this part shall refer to the party*
15 *preference or lack of party preference disclosed by the voter or*
16 *candidate in accordance with Sections 2151 and 2152 and*
17 *subdivision (b) of Section 2154.*

18 *SEC. 14. The heading of Part 1 (commencing with Section*
19 *7000) of Division 7 of the Elections Code is amended to read:*

20
21 ~~PART 1. DUTIES OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE~~ *GENERAL*
22 *PROVISIONS*

23
24 *SEC. 15. Chapter 1 (commencing with Section 7000) of Part*
25 *1 of Division 7 of the Elections Code is repealed.*

26 *SEC. 16. Section 7000 is added to the Elections Code, to read:*

27 *7000. All references to a voter’s or candidate’s party*
28 *“registration” or “affiliation” in this division shall refer to the*
29 *party preference or lack of party preference disclosed by the voter*
30 *or candidate in accordance with Sections 2151 and 2152 and*
31 *subdivision (b) of Section 2154.*

32 *SEC. 17. Section 8002.5 is added to the Elections Code, to*
33 *read:*

34 *8002.5. (a) A candidate for a voter-nominated office may*
35 *indicate his or her party preference, or lack of party preference,*
36 *as disclosed upon the candidate’s most recent statement of*
37 *registration, upon his or her declaration of candidacy. If a*
38 *candidate indicates his or her party preference on his or her*
39 *declaration of candidacy, it shall appear on the primary and*
40 *general election ballot in conjunction with his or her name. The*

1 candidate's designated party preference on the ballot shall not be
2 changed between the primary and general election. A candidate
3 for voter-nominated office may also choose not to have the party
4 preference disclosed upon the candidate's most recent affidavit of
5 registration indicated upon the ballot.

6 (b) Regardless of the disclosed party preference of the candidate
7 or the voter, any qualified voter may vote for any candidate for a
8 voter-nominated office if the voter is otherwise entitled to vote for
9 candidates for the office to be filled. Nothing in Section 2151,
10 3006, 3007.5, 3205, or 3102 shall be construed to limit the ability
11 of a voter to cast a primary election ballot for any candidate for
12 a voter-nominated office, regardless of the party preference, or
13 lack of party preference, designated by the candidate for inclusion
14 upon the ballot pursuant to this section, provided that the voter is
15 otherwise qualified to cast a ballot for the office at issue.

16 (c) A candidate designating a party preference pursuant to
17 subdivision (a) shall not be deemed to be the official nominee of
18 the party designated as preferred by the candidate. A candidate's
19 designation of party preference shall not be construed as an
20 endorsement of that candidate by the party designated. The party
21 preference designated by the candidate is shown for the
22 information of the voters only and may in no way limit the options
23 available to voters.

24 (d) All references to party preference or affiliation shall be
25 omitted from all forms required to be filed by a voter-nominated
26 candidate pursuant to this division in the same manner that such
27 references are omitted from forms required to be filed by
28 nonpartisan candidates pursuant to Section 8002, except that the
29 declaration of candidacy required by Section 8040 shall include
30 space for the candidate to list the party preference disclosed upon
31 the candidate's most recent affidavit of registration, in accordance
32 with subsection (a).

33 SEC. 18. Section 8005 is added to the Elections Code, to read:
34 8005. In addition to satisfying the requirements of Sections
35 9083.5, 9084.5, and 14105.1, the Secretary of State shall conduct
36 public voter education campaigns, using existing resources, for
37 the purpose of publicly disseminating information regarding the
38 roles of the parties in primary elections for party-nominated offices,
39 voter-nominated offices, and nonpartisan offices.

1 *SEC. 19. Section 8025 of the Elections Code is amended to*
2 *read:*

3 8025. If only one candidate has declared a candidacy for a
4 partisan nomination at the direct primary election for a party
5 qualified to participate at that election, *or for nomination at the*
6 *direct primary for a voter-nominated office*, and that candidate
7 dies after the last day prescribed for the delivery of nomination
8 documents to the elections official, as provided in Section 8020,
9 but not less than 83 days before the election, any person qualified
10 under the provisions of Section 8001 may circulate and deliver
11 nomination documents for the office to the elections official up to
12 5 p.m. on the 74th day prior to the election. In that case, the
13 elections official shall, immediately after receipt of those
14 nomination documents, certify and transmit them to the Secretary
15 of State in the manner specified in this article.

16 *SEC. 20. Section 8062 of the Elections Code is amended to*
17 *read:*

18 8062. (a) The number of registered voters required to sign a
19 nomination paper for the respective offices are as follows:

20 (1) State office or United States Senate, not less than 65 nor
21 more than 100.

22 (2) House of Representatives in Congress, State Senate or
23 Assembly, Board of Equalization, or any office voted for in more
24 than one county, and not statewide, not less than 40 nor more than
25 60.

26 (3) Candidacy in a single county or any political subdivision of
27 a county, other than State Senate or Assembly, not less than 20
28 nor more than 40.

29 (4) ~~When~~*With respect to a candidate for a political party*
30 *committee*, any political party has less than 50 voters in the state
31 or in the county or district in which the election is to be held,
32 one-tenth the number of voters of the party.

33 (5) When there are less than 150 voters in the county or district
34 in which the election is to be held, not less than 10 nor more than
35 20.

36 (b) The provisions of this section are mandatory, not directory,
37 and no nomination paper shall be deemed sufficient that does not
38 comply with this section. However, this subdivision shall not be
39 construed to prohibit withdrawal of signatures pursuant to Section
40 8067. This subdivision also shall not be construed to prohibit a

1 court from validating a signature which was previously rejected
2 upon showing of proof that the voter whose signature is in question
3 is otherwise qualified to sign the nomination paper.

4 *SEC. 21. Section 8068 of the Elections Code is amended to*
5 *read:*

6 8068. Signers shall be voters in the district or political
7 subdivision in which the candidate is to be voted on ~~and shall be~~
8 ~~affiliated with the party, if any, in which the nomination is~~
9 ~~proposed.~~ *With respect to any candidacy for partisan office, signers*
10 *shall be affiliated registered voters who disclosed a preference,*
11 *pursuant to Section 2151, for the party, if any, in which the*
12 *nomination is proposed. Signers need not be registered voters who*
13 *disclosed a preference for any party when signing candidacy*
14 *papers for a candidate seeking nomination to a voter-nominated*
15 *office.*

16 *SEC. 22. Section 8081 of the Elections Code is amended to*
17 *read:*

18 8081. Before any nomination document is filed in the office
19 of the county elections official or forwarded for filing in the office
20 of the Secretary of State, the county elections official shall verify
21 the signatures and the political ~~affiliations~~ *preferences, if required,*
22 of the signers on the nomination paper with the registration
23 affidavits on file in the office of the county elections official. The
24 county elections official shall mark “not sufficient” any signature
25 that does not appear in the same handwriting as appears on the
26 affidavit of registration in his or her office, or that is accompanied
27 by a declaration of party ~~affiliation~~ *preference* that is not in
28 accordance with the declaration of party ~~affiliation~~ *preference* in
29 the affidavit of registration. The county elections official may
30 cease to verify signatures once the minimum requisite number of
31 signatures has been verified.

32 *SEC. 23. Section 8121 of the Elections Code is amended to*
33 *read:*

34 8121. (a) Not less than five days before he or she transmits
35 the certified list of candidates to the county elections officials, as
36 provided in Section 8120, the Secretary of State shall notify each
37 candidate for partisan office *and voter-nominated office* of the
38 names, addresses, offices, occupations, and party ~~affiliations~~
39 *preferences* of all other persons who have filed for the same office.

1 (b) (1) Beginning not less than five days before he or she
 2 transmits the certified list of candidates to the county elections
 3 officials, as required by Section 8120, the Secretary of State shall
 4 post, in a conspicuous place on his or her Internet Web site, the
 5 party preference history of each candidate for voter-nominated
 6 office for the preceding 10 years. The candidates' party preference
 7 history shall be continuously posted until such time as the official
 8 canvass is completed for the general or special election at which
 9 a candidate is elected to the voter-nominated office sought, except
 10 that, in the case of a candidate who participated in the primary
 11 election and who was not nominated to participate in the general
 12 election, the candidate's party preference history need not continue
 13 to be posted following the completion of the official canvass for
 14 the primary election in question.

15 (2) For purposes of this subdivision, the phrase "party
 16 preference history" also refers to the candidate's history of party
 17 registration during the 10 years preceding the effective date of
 18 this section.

19 (3) The Secretary of State shall also conspicuously post on the
 20 same web page as that containing the candidates' party preference
 21 history the notice specified by of subdivision (b) of Section 9083.5.

22 SEC. 24. Section 8124 of the Elections Code is amended to
 23 read:

24 8124. The certified list of candidates sent to each county
 25 elections official by the Secretary of State shall show all of the
 26 following:

- 27 (a) The name of each candidate.
- 28 (b) The office for which each person is a candidate.
- 29 (c) ~~The~~With respect to candidates for partisan offices, the party
 30 each person represents, unless the office is nonpartisan.
- 31 (d) With respect to candidates for voter-nominated offices, the
 32 party preference designation specified in accordance with Section
 33 8002.5.

34 SEC. 25. Section 8125 of the Elections Code is repealed.

35 ~~8125. The certified list of candidates sent to each county~~
 36 ~~elections official by the Secretary of State shall be in substantially~~
 37 ~~the following form:~~

38 ~~CERTIFIED LIST OF CANDIDATES FOR NOMINATION~~
 39 ~~SECRETARY OF STATE~~
 40 ~~To the County Elections Official of _____ County:~~

1 I, _____, Secretary of State, do hereby certify that the following
 2 list contains the name of each person for whom nomination papers
 3 have been filed in my office and who is entitled to be voted for in
 4 the above-named county at the direct primary election to be held
 5 on the _____ day of _____, 19____, the designation of the office for
 6 which each person is a candidate, his or her name being stated
 7 under the name of the party he or she represents, except in the case
 8 of a nonpartisan office.

9 _____ PARTY

10 STATE (AND DISTRICT) OFFICES

11 (Title of office) - (Name of candidate)

12 _____

13 _____

14 _____

15 _____

16 _____

17 _____

18 _____ District

19 CONGRESSIONAL OFFICES

20 (Including United States Senator, if any)

21 _____

22 _____

23 _____

24 _____ District

25 LEGISLATIVE OFFICES

26 _____

27 _____

28 _____

29 _____ District

30 _____ District

31 _____ PARTY

32 STATE (AND DISTRICT) OFFICES

33

34

35 I further certify the following list contains the name of each
 36 person for whom nomination papers have been filed in my office,
 37 together with a designation of the office for which each person is
 38 a candidate, and that each person is entitled to be voted for in your
 39 county at that election by any registered qualified elector of your

1 county, whether registered as intending to affiliate with any
2 political party or not.

3
4 NONPARTISAN OFFICES
5 SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

6 _____
7 _____
8 _____

9
10 Dated at Sacramento, California, this _____ day of _____,

11 19__

12 (SEAL) _____ Secretary of State

13
14 SEC. 26. Section 8125 is added to the Elections Code, to read:
15 8125. The certified list of candidates sent to each county
16 elections official by the Secretary of State shall be in a form
17 prescribed by the Secretary of State.

18 SEC. 27. Section 8141.5 is added to the Elections Code, to
19 read:

20 8141.5. Only the two candidates for a voter-nominated office
21 who receive the highest and second-highest numbers of votes cast
22 at the primary shall appear on the ballot as candidates for that
23 office at the ensuing general election. More than one candidate
24 with the same party preference designation may participate in the
25 general election pursuant to this subdivision. Notwithstanding the
26 designation made by the candidate pursuant to Section 8002.5, no
27 candidate for a voter-nominated office shall be deemed to be the
28 official nominee for that office of any political party, and no party
29 is entitled to have a candidate with its party preference designation
30 participate in the general election unless such candidate is one of
31 the two candidates receiving the highest or second-highest numbers
32 of votes cast at the primary election.

33 SEC. 28. Section 8142 of the Elections Code is amended to
34 read:

35 8142. (a) In the case of a tie vote, nonpartisan candidates
36 receiving the same number of votes shall be candidates at the
37 ensuing general election if they qualify pursuant to Section 8141
38 whether or not there are more candidates at the general election
39 than prescribed by this article. In no case shall the candidates
40 determine the tie by lot.

1 (b) *In the case of a tie vote among candidates at a primary*
2 *election for a voter-nominated office, the following applies:*

3 (1) *All candidates receiving the highest number of votes cast*
4 *for any candidate shall be candidates at the ensuing general*
5 *election whether or not there are more candidates at the general*
6 *election than prescribed by this article.*

7 (2) *Notwithstanding Section 8141.5, if a tie vote among*
8 *candidates results in more than one primary candidate qualifying*
9 *for the general election pursuant to subdivision (a), candidates*
10 *receiving fewer votes shall not be candidates at the general*
11 *election, even if they receive the second highest number of votes*
12 *cast.*

13 (3) *If only one candidate receives the highest number of votes*
14 *cast but there is a tie vote among two or more candidates receiving*
15 *the second highest number of votes cast, each of those second-place*
16 *candidates shall be a candidate at the ensuing general election*
17 *along with the candidate receiving the highest number of votes*
18 *cast, regardless of whether there are more candidates at the*
19 *general election than prescribed by this article.*

20 (4) *In no case shall the candidates determine the tie by lot.*

21 *SEC. 29. Section 8148 of the Elections Code is amended to*
22 *read:*

23 8148. Not less than 68 days before the general election, the
24 Secretary of State shall deliver to the appropriate county elections
25 official a certificate showing:

26 (a) The name of every person entitled to receive votes within
27 that county at the general election who has received the nomination
28 as a candidate for public office pursuant to this chapter, *and the*
29 *designation of the public office for which he or she has been*
30 *nominated.*

31 (b) For each nominee *for a partisan office*, the name of the party
32 that has nominated him or her, ~~if any.~~

33 ~~The designation of the public office for which he or she has~~
34 ~~been nominated~~ *For each nominee for a voter-nominated office,*
35 *the name of the party preference, or lack of party preference, as*
36 *designated by the candidate in accordance with Section 8002.5.*

37 *SEC. 30. Section 8150 of the Elections Code is amended to*
38 *read:*

39 8150. The certificate of the Secretary of State showing
40 candidates nominated or selected at a primary election, and justices

1 of the Supreme Court and courts of appeal to appear on the general
2 elections ballot, shall be substantially in the following a form:
3 *prescribed by the Secretary of State.*

4
5 CERTIFICATE OF SECRETARY OF STATE SHOWING
6 CANDIDATES NOMINATED OR SELECTED AT PRIMARY
7 ELECTION
8 SECRETARY OF STATE
9

10 To the County Elections Official of _____ County:

11 I, _____, Secretary of State, do hereby certify that below are stated
12 the names of those persons entitled to receive votes within your
13 county at the general election who have (1) received partisan
14 nominations or have been selected as candidates for office at the
15 primary election or (2) in the case of justices of the Supreme Court
16 or the courts of appeal, are the justices who are subject to
17 confirmation by the voters at the general election. These
18 nominations and selections are evidenced by the compilation and
19 statement required to be made by me and filed in my office. Set
20 forth along with their respective names, other than the names of
21 justices of the Supreme Court or the courts of appeal, there is
22 shown the candidate's designation of his or her office, profession,
23 vocation or occupation, and there is also shown separately and
24 respectively for each nominee the name of the political party or
25 organization, if any, that has nominated him or her and the
26 designation of the public office for which he or she is so nominated.

27
28 STATE (AND DISTRICT) OFFICES

29 (Candidate's
30 designation
31 of office;
32 occupation;
33 etc.)

(Party)

(Office)

| (Name of candidate) | (Candidate's designation of office; occupation; etc.) | (Party) | (Office) |
|---------------------|---|---------|----------|
| _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |

37 _____ District

38
39 CONGRESSIONAL OFFICES

| | | | |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|

STATE (AND DISTRICT) OFFICES

(Candidate's

designation

of office;

occupation;

etc.)

(Party)

(Office)

(Name of
candidate)

| | | | |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |

_____ District

LEGISLATIVE OFFICES

| | | | |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |

_____ District

SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

| | | |
|-------|-------|-------|
| _____ | _____ | _____ |
| _____ | _____ | _____ |
| _____ | _____ | _____ |

I also certify that at the state conventions that met, according to law, at the State Capitol on the _____ day of _____, 20____, the following persons were nominated as electors of President and Vice President of the United States, for the parties respectively hereinafter placed at the head of the column containing their respective names, and you are hereby directed to print the names of the candidates for President and Vice President for whom those electors have pledged themselves to vote, upon the official ballots to be used at the general election, as representing the candidates of their respective parties for that office.

~~PRESIDENTIAL ELECTORS~~

| | |
|----------------------|----------------------|
| _____ Party | _____ Party |
| _____ President | _____ President |
| _____ Vice President | _____ Vice President |

1 1 _____ 1 _____
 2 _____
 3 2 _____ 2 _____
 4 _____
 5 3 _____ 3 _____
 6 etc. etc.
 7 Dated at Sacramento, California, this ____ day of _____,
 8 20__.
 9 _____
 10 _____
 11 (SEAL) Secretary of State

13 *SEC. 31. Section 8300 of the Elections Code is amended to*
 14 *read:*

15 8300. A candidate for any public office, including that of
 16 presidential elector, for which no nonpartisan candidate *or*
 17 *candidate for voter-nominated office* has been nominated or elected
 18 at any primary election, may be nominated subsequent to or in lieu
 19 of a primary election pursuant to this chapter.

20 *SEC. 32. Section 8550 of the Elections Code is amended to*
 21 *read:*

22 8550. At least 88 days prior to the election, each candidate
 23 shall leave with the officer with whom his or her nomination papers
 24 are required to be left, a declaration of candidacy which states all
 25 of the following:

- 26 (a) The candidate's residence, with street and number, if any.
- 27 (b) That the candidate is a voter in the precinct in which he or
 28 she resides.
- 29 (c) The name of the office for which he or she is a candidate.
- 30 (d) That the candidate will not withdraw as a candidate before
 31 the election.
- 32 (e) That, if elected, the candidate will qualify for the office.
- 33 ~~(f) That the candidate is not, and was not at any time during the~~
 34 ~~13 months preceding the general election at which a candidate for~~
 35 ~~the office mentioned in the declaration of candidacy shall be~~
 36 ~~elected, or in the case of an election governed by Chapter 1~~
 37 ~~(commencing with Section 10700) of Part 6 of Division 10, at any~~
 38 ~~time during the three months immediately preceding the filing of~~
 39 ~~the declaration, registered as affiliated with a political party~~
 40 ~~qualified under Section 5100. The statement required by this~~

1 ~~subdivision shall be omitted for a candidate for the presidential~~
2 ~~elector.~~

3 The name of a candidate shall not be placed on the ballot unless
4 the declaration of candidacy provided for in this section has been
5 properly filed.

6 *SEC. 33. Section 8600 of the Elections Code is amended to*
7 *read:*

8 8600. Every person who desires to be a write-in candidate and
9 have his or her name as written on the ballot of an election counted
10 for a particular office shall file:

11 (a) A statement of write-in candidacy that contains the following
12 information:

13 (1) Candidate's name.

14 (2) Residence address.

15 (3) A declaration stating that he or she is a write-in candidate.

16 (4) The title of the office for which he or she is running.

17 (5) The party nomination which he or she seeks, if running in
18 a *partisan* primary election.

19 (6) The date of the election.

20 (b) The requisite number of signatures on the nomination papers,
21 if any, required pursuant to Sections 8062, 10220, 10510 or, in the
22 case of a special district not subject to the Uniform District Election
23 Law (Part 4 (commencing with Section 10500) of Division 10),
24 the number of signatures required by the principal act of the district.

25 *SEC. 34. Section 8605 of the Elections Code is amended to*
26 *read:*

27 8605. No person whose name has been written in upon a ballot
28 for an office at the direct primary may have his or her name placed
29 upon the ballot as a candidate for that office for the ensuing general
30 election unless one of the following is applicable:

31 (a) At that direct primary he or she received for that *a partisan*
32 office votes equal in number to 1 percent of all votes cast for the
33 office at the last preceding general election at which the office was
34 filled. In the case of an office that has not appeared on the ballot
35 since its creation, the requisite number of votes shall equal 1
36 percent of the number of all votes cast for the office that had the
37 least number of votes in the most recent general election in the
38 jurisdiction in which the write-in candidate is seeking office.

39 (b) He or she is an independent nominee *for a partisan office*
40 pursuant to Part 2 (commencing with Section 8300).

1 (c) He or she has been designated by a party central committee
2 to fill a vacancy on the ballot for the general election. At that direct
3 primary he or she received for a voter-nominated office the highest
4 number of votes cast for that office or the second highest number
5 of votes cast for that office, except as provided by subdivision (b)
6 of Section 8142 or Section 8807.

7 SEC. 35. Section 8606 is added to the Elections Code, to read:

8 8606. A person whose name has been written on the ballot as
9 a write-in candidate at the general election for a voter-nominated
10 office shall not be counted.

11 SEC. 36. Section 8802 of the Elections Code is repealed.

12 ~~8802. Any person nominated by a party at the direct primary~~
13 ~~election for a partisan office may be appointed to fill a vacancy~~
14 ~~on the general election ballot for any other partisan office, as~~
15 ~~provided in Section 8806, and in that case his or her appointment~~
16 ~~shall constitute a vacancy on the general election ballot for the~~
17 ~~office for which he or she was nominated. The vacancy thus arising~~
18 ~~shall be filled in the manner prescribed in Section 8806.~~

19 SEC. 37. Section 8805 of the Elections Code is amended to
20 read:

21 8805. Whenever a candidate for nomination for a ~~partisan~~
22 ~~nonpartisan or voter-nominated~~ office at a primary election dies
23 on or before the day of the election, and a sufficient number of
24 ballots are marked as being voted for him or her to entitle him or
25 her to nomination if he or she had lived until after the election, a
26 vacancy exists on the general election ballot, which shall be filled
27 in the manner provided in Section ~~8806~~ 8807 for filling a vacancy
28 caused by the death of a candidate.

29 SEC. 38. Section 8806 of the Elections Code is repealed.

30 ~~8806. Vacancies permitted to be filled may, in the case of~~
31 ~~legislative offices, be filled by the county central committee or~~
32 ~~committees of the party in which the vacancy occurs, in the county~~
33 ~~or counties comprising the legislative district of the deceased~~
34 ~~candidate. In the case of all other district or state offices requiring~~
35 ~~party nomination, except congressional offices, the vacancies may~~
36 ~~be filled by the state central committee of the party.~~

37 Vacancies permitted to be filled may, in the case of congressional
38 offices, be filled by those members of the state central committee
39 of the party who reside in the congressional district in which the
40 vacancy occurs, and who were registered to vote in that district at

1 ~~the time the vacancy occurred, acting together with the members~~
2 ~~of the county central committee or committees of the party residing~~
3 ~~in that congressional district.~~

4 References in this section to state and county central committees
5 shall be construed to refer to the newly elected or selected state
6 and county central committees, unless the organizational meetings
7 of those committees are held in January following the general
8 election.

9 *SEC. 39. Section 8807 of the Elections Code is amended to*
10 *read:*

11 8807. If the vacancy occurs among candidates chosen at the
12 direct primary to go on the ballot for the succeeding general
13 election for a nonpartisan *or voter-nominated* office, the name of
14 that candidate receiving at the primary election the next highest
15 number of votes shall go upon the ballot to fill the vacancy.

16 *SEC. 40. Section 9083.5 is added to the Elections Code, to*
17 *read:*

18 9083.5. (a) *If a candidate for nomination or election to a*
19 *partisan office will appear on the ballot, the Secretary of State*
20 *shall include in the state ballot pamphlet a written explanation of*
21 *the electoral procedure for such offices, as follows:*

22 **PARTY-NOMINATED/PARTISAN OFFICES**

23 *Under the California Constitution, political parties may formally*
24 *nominate candidates for party-nominated/partisan offices at the*
25 *primary election. A candidate so nominated will then represent*
26 *that party as its official candidate for the office in question at the*
27 *ensuing general election and the ballot will reflect an official*
28 *designation to that effect. The top vote-getter for each party at the*
29 *primary election is entitled to participate in the general election.*
30 *Parties also elect officers of official party committees at a partisan*
31 *primary.*

32 *No voter may vote the ballot of any political party at any primary*
33 *election unless he or she has disclosed a preference for that party*
34 *upon registering to vote or unless he or she has declined to disclose*
35 *a party preference and the political party, by party rule duly*
36 *noticed to the Secretary of State, authorizes a person who has*
37 *declined to disclose a party preference to vote the ballot of that*
38 *political party.*

39 (b) *If any candidate for nomination or election to a*
40 *voter-nominated office will appear on the ballot, the Secretary of*

1 *State shall include in the state ballot pamphlet a written*
2 *explanation of the electoral procedure for such offices, as follows:*

3 *VOTER-NOMINATED OFFICES*

4 *Under the California Constitution, political parties are not*
5 *entitled to formally nominate candidates for voter-nominated*
6 *offices at the primary election, and a candidate nominated for a*
7 *voter-nominated office at the primary election is not the official*
8 *nominee of any party for the office in question at the ensuing*
9 *general election. A candidate for nomination or election to a*
10 *voter-nominated office may, however, designate his or her party*
11 *preference, or lack of party preference, and have that designation*
12 *reflected on the primary and general election ballot, but the party*
13 *designation so indicated is selected solely by the candidate and is*
14 *shown for the information of the voters only. It does not constitute*
15 *or imply an endorsement of the candidate by the party designated,*
16 *and no candidate nominated by the qualified voters for any*
17 *voter-nominated office shall be deemed to be the officially*
18 *nominated candidate of any political party. The parties may have*
19 *a list of candidates for voter-nominated offices, who have received*
20 *the official endorsement of the party, printed in the sample ballot.*

21 *All voters, regardless of the party for which they have expressed*
22 *a preference upon registering, or of their refusal to disclose a*
23 *party preference, may vote for any candidate for a voter-nominated*
24 *office, provided they meet the other qualifications required to vote*
25 *for that office. The top two vote-getters at the primary election*
26 *advance to the general election for the voter-nominated office,*
27 *and both candidates may have specified the same party preference*
28 *designation. No party is entitled to have a candidate with its party*
29 *preference designation participate in the general election unless*
30 *such candidate is one of the two highest vote-getters at the primary*
31 *election.*

32 *(c) If any candidate for nomination or election to a nonpartisan*
33 *office, other than judicial office, shall appear on the ballot, the*
34 *Secretary of State shall include in the state ballot pamphlet a*
35 *written explanation of the electoral procedure for such offices, as*
36 *follows:*

37 *NONPARTISAN OFFICES*

38 *Under the California Constitution, political parties are not*
39 *entitled to nominate candidates for nonpartisan offices at the*
40 *primary election, and a candidate nominated for a nonpartisan*

1 office at the primary election is not the official nominee of any
2 party for the office in question at the ensuing general election. A
3 candidate for nomination or election to a nonpartisan office may
4 NOT designate his or her party preference, or lack of party
5 preference, on the primary and general election ballot. The top
6 two vote-getters at the primary election advance to the general
7 election for the nonpartisan office.

8 (d) Posters or other printed materials containing the notices
9 specified in subdivisions (a) to (c), inclusive, shall be included in
10 the precinct supplies pursuant to Section 14105.

11 SEC. 41. Section 9084.5 is added to the Elections Code, to
12 read:

13 9084.5. In addition to the materials specified in Section 9084,
14 the ballot pamphlet shall contain a written explanation of the
15 appropriate election procedures for party-nominated,
16 voter-nominated, and nonpartisan offices as required by Section
17 9083.5.

18 SEC. 42. Section 10705 of the Elections Code is amended to
19 read:

20 10705. (a) All candidates shall be listed on one ballot and,
21 except as provided in subdivision (b), if any candidate receives a
22 majority of all votes cast, he or she shall be declared elected, and
23 no special general election shall be held.

24 (b) If only one candidate qualifies to have his or her name
25 printed on the special general election ballot, that candidate shall
26 be declared elected, and no special general election shall be held,
27 even if that candidate received less than a majority of the votes
28 cast.

29 SEC. 43. Section 10706 of the Elections Code is amended to
30 read:

31 10706. ~~(a) If no candidate receives a majority of votes cast,~~
32 ~~the name of that candidate of each qualified political party who~~
33 ~~receives the most votes cast for all candidates of that party shall~~
34 ~~be placed on the special general election ballot as the candidate of~~
35 ~~that party. The name of a write-in candidate shall not be placed~~
36 ~~on the ballot unless he or she also meets the requirements of~~
37 ~~subdivision (a) of Section 8605~~ names of the two candidates who
38 receive the highest and second highest number of votes cast at the
39 special primary election shall be placed on the special general
40 election ballot.

1 ~~(b) In addition to the candidates referred to in subdivision (a),~~
2 ~~each candidate who has qualified for the ballot by reason of the~~
3 ~~independent nomination procedure pursuant to Part 2 (commencing~~
4 ~~with Section 8300) of Division 8 shall be placed on the special~~
5 ~~general election ballot as an independent candidate. However, if~~
6 ~~two or more of these candidates are recorded on their affidavits of~~
7 ~~registration as being affiliated with the same political body, only~~
8 ~~the candidate with the greatest number of votes shall be placed on~~
9 ~~the special general election ballot.~~

10 *SEC. 44. Section 12108 of the Elections Code is amended to*
11 *read:*

12 12108. In any case where this chapter requires the posting or
13 distribution of a list of the names of precinct board members, or
14 a portion of the list, the officers charged with the duty of posting
15 shall ascertain the name of the political party, if any, ~~with for~~ which
16 each precinct board member ~~is affiliated~~ *has expressed a*
17 *preference*, as shown in the affidavit of registration of that person.
18 When the list is posted or distributed, there shall be printed the
19 name of the board member's party *preference* or an abbreviation
20 of the name to the right of the name, or immediately below the
21 name, of each precinct board member. If a precinct board member
22 ~~is not affiliated with~~ *has not expressed a preference for* a political
23 party, the words "No party," "Nonpartisan," or "Decline to state
24 "No Party Preference" shall be printed in place of the party name.

25 *SEC. 45. Section 13102 of the Elections Code is amended to*
26 *read:*

27 13102. (a) All voting shall be by ballot. There shall be
28 provided, at each polling place, at each election at which public
29 officers are to be voted for, but one form of ballot for all candidates
30 for public office, except that, for partisan primary elections, one
31 form of ballot shall be provided for each qualified political party
32 as well as one form of nonpartisan ballot, in accordance with
33 subdivision (b).

34 (b) At partisan primary elections, each voter not registered ~~as~~
35 ~~intending to affiliate~~ *disclosing a preference* with any one of the
36 political parties participating in the election shall be furnished only
37 a nonpartisan ballot, unless he or she requests a ballot of a political
38 party and that political party, by party rule duly noticed to the
39 Secretary of State, authorizes a person who has declined to ~~state~~
40 *disclose* a party ~~affiliation~~ *preference* to vote the ballot of that

1 political party. The nonpartisan ballot shall contain only the names
2 of all candidates for nonpartisan offices, *voter-nominated offices*,
3 and measures to be voted for at the primary election. Each voter
4 registered as ~~intending to affiliate with~~ *preferring* a political party
5 participating in the election shall be furnished only a ballot ~~of the~~
6 ~~political party with~~ *for* which he or she ~~is registered~~ *disclosed a*
7 *party preference in accordance with Section 2151 or 2152* and the
8 nonpartisan ballot, both of which shall be printed together as one
9 ballot in the form prescribed by Section 13207.

10 (c) A political party may adopt a party rule in accordance with
11 subdivision (b) that authorizes a person who has declined to ~~state~~
12 *disclose* a ~~party affiliation~~ *preference* to vote the ballot of that
13 political party at the next ensuing partisan primary election. The
14 political party shall notify the party chair immediately upon
15 adoption of that party rule. The party chair shall provide written
16 notice of the adoption of that rule to the Secretary of State not later
17 than the 135th day prior to the partisan primary election at which
18 the vote is authorized.

19 (d) The county elections official shall maintain a record of which
20 political party's ballot was requested pursuant to subdivision (b),
21 or whether a nonpartisan ballot was requested, by each person who
22 declined to ~~state~~ *disclose* a ~~party affiliation~~ *preference*. The record
23 shall be made available to any person or committee who is
24 authorized to receive copies of the printed indexes of registration
25 for primary and general elections pursuant to Section 2184. A
26 record produced pursuant to this subdivision shall be made
27 available in either a printed or electronic format, as requested by
28 the authorized person or committee.

29 *SEC. 46. Section 13105 of the Elections Code is amended to*
30 *read:*

31 13105. (a) In the case of candidates ~~for partisan office in a~~
32 ~~general election or in a special election to fill a vacancy in the~~
33 ~~office of Representative in Congress, State Senator, or Member~~
34 ~~of the Assembly, immediately to the right of and on the same line~~
35 ~~as the name of the candidate, or immediately below the name, if~~
36 ~~there is not sufficient space to the right of the name, there shall be~~
37 ~~printed in eight-point roman lowercase type the name of the~~
38 ~~qualified political party with which the candidate is affiliated for~~
39 ~~a voter-nominated office in a primary election, a general election,~~
40 ~~or a special election to fill a vacancy in the office of United States~~

1 *Senator, Member of the United States House of Representatives,*
2 *State Senator, or Member of the Assembly, immediately to the right*
3 *of and on the same line as the name of the candidate, or*
4 *immediately below the name if there is not sufficient space to the*
5 *right of the name, there shall be identified in eight-point roman*
6 *lowercase type the name of the political party designated by the*
7 *candidate pursuant to Section 8002.5. The identification shall be*
8 *in substantially the following form: "My party preference is the*
9 *_____ Party." If the candidate designates no political party,*
10 *the phrase "No Party Preference" shall be printed instead of the*
11 *party preference identification. If the candidate chooses not to*
12 *have his or her party preference listed on the ballot, the space that*
13 *would be filled with a party preference designation shall be left*
14 *blank.*

15 (b) In the case of candidates for President and Vice President,
16 the name of the party shall appear to the right of and equidistant
17 from the pair of names of these candidates.

18 (c) If for a general election any candidate *for President of the*
19 *United States or Vice President of the United States* has received
20 the nomination of any additional party or parties, the name(s) shall
21 be printed to the right of the name of the candidate's own party.
22 Party names of a candidate shall be separated by commas. If a
23 candidate has qualified for the ballot by virtue of an independent
24 nomination, the word "Independent" shall be printed instead of
25 the name of a political party in accordance with the above rules.

26 *SEC. 47. Section 13109.5 is added to the Elections Code, to*
27 *read:*

28 *13109.5. Notwithstanding anything in Section 13109 to the*
29 *contrary, and to facilitate compliance with Section 13206, the*
30 *elections official may list the offices specified in subdivision (h)*
31 *of Section 13109 directly after the offices specified in subdivisions*
32 *(a) and (b) of Section 13109, when the offices specified in those*
33 *subsections are on the ballot, or at the end of the ballot in elections*
34 *at which the offices specified in subdivisions (a) and (b) of Section*
35 *13109 are not listed on the ballot.*

36 *SEC. 48. Section 13110 of the Elections Code is amended to*
37 *read:*

38 13110. The group of names of candidates for any partisan
39 office, *voter-nominated office*, or nonpartisan office shall be the
40 same on the ballots of all voters entitled to vote for candidates for

1 that office, except that in partisan primary elections, the names of
2 candidates for nomination to partisan office shall appear only on
3 the ballots of the political party, the nomination of which they
4 seek, *and candidates for election to a political party committee*
5 *shall appear only on the ballots of the political party for which*
6 *the candidate seeks election.*

7 SEC. 49. Section 13206 of the Elections Code is amended to
8 read:

9 13206. (a) On the partisan ballot used in a direct primary
10 election, immediately below the instructions to voters, there shall
11 be a box *not less than one-half inch high enclosed by a heavy-ruled*
12 *line the same as the borderline. This box shall be as long as there*
13 *are columns for the partisan ballot and shall be set directly above*
14 *these columns. Within the box shall be printed in 24-point boldface*
15 *gothic capital type the words “~~Partisan~~ “Party-Nominated Offices.”*
16 *Immediately below that phrase within the same box shall be*
17 *printed, in 8-point boldface gothic type, the following: “Only voters*
18 *who disclosed a preference upon registering to vote for the same*
19 *party as the candidate seeking the nomination of any party for the*
20 *Presidency or election to a party committee may vote for that*
21 *candidate at the primary election, unless the party has adopted a*
22 *rule to permit non-party voters to vote in its primary elections.”*

23 (b) The same style of box described in subdivision (a) shall also
24 appear over the columns of the nonpartisan part of the ballot and
25 within the box in the same style and point size of type shall be
26 printed “~~Nonpartisan~~ “Voter-Nominated and Nonpartisan Offices.”
27 *Immediately below that phrase within the same box shall be*
28 *printed, in 8-point boldface gothic type, the following:*

29 “All voters, regardless of the party preference they disclosed
30 upon registration, or refusal to disclose a party preference, may
31 vote for any candidate for a voter-nominated or nonpartisan office.

32 *Voter-Nominated Offices. The party preference, if any,*
33 *designated by a candidate for a voter-nominated office is selected*
34 *by the candidate and is shown for the information of the voters*
35 *only.*

36 *It does not constitute or imply an endorsement of the candidate*
37 *by the party indicated, and no candidate nominated by the qualified*
38 *voters for any voter-nominated office shall be deemed to be the*
39 *officially nominated candidate of any political party.*

1 ~~“Nonpartisan Offices. A candidate for a nonpartisan office may~~
2 ~~not designate a party reference on the ballot.”~~

3 ~~SEC. 50. Section 13207 of the Elections Code is amended to~~
4 ~~read:~~

5 13207. (a) There shall be printed on the ballot in parallel
6 columns all of the following:

7 (1) The respective offices.

8 (2) The names of candidates with sufficient blank spaces to
9 allow the voters to write in names not printed on the ballot.

10 (3) Whatever measures have been submitted to the voters.

11 (b) In the case of a ballot which is intended for use in a party
12 primary and which carries both partisan offices, *voter-nominated*
13 *offices*, and nonpartisan offices, a vertical solid black line shall
14 divide the columns containing partisan offices, on the left, from
15 the columns containing nonpartisan offices *and voter-nominated*
16 *offices*, on the right.

17 (c) The standard width of columns containing partisan~~and~~
18 ~~offices~~, nonpartisan offices, *and voter-nominated offices*, shall be
19 three inches, but a elections official may vary the width of these
20 columns up to 10 percent more or less than the three-inch standard.
21 However, the column containing presidential and vice presidential
22 candidates may be as wide as four inches.

23 (d) Any measures that are to be submitted to the voters shall be
24 printed in one or more parallel columns to the right of the columns
25 containing the names of candidates and shall be of sufficient width
26 to contain the title and summary of each measure. To the right of
27 each title and summary shall be printed, on separate lines, the
28 words “Yes” and “No.”

29 ~~SEC. 51. Section 13208 of the Elections Code is amended to~~
30 ~~read:~~

31 13208. (a) In the right-hand margin of each column light
32 vertical lines shall be printed in such a way as to create a voting
33 square after the name of each candidate for partisan office,
34 *voter-nominated office*, nonpartisan office (except for justice of
35 the Supreme Court or court of appeal), or for chairman of a group
36 of candidates for delegate to a national convention who express
37 no preference for a presidential candidate. In the case of Supreme
38 Court or appellate justices and in the case of measures submitted
39 to the voters, the lines shall be printed so as to create voting squares
40 to the right of the words “Yes” and “No.” The voting squares shall

1 be used by the voters to express their choices as provided for in
2 the instruction to voters.

3 (b) The standard voting square shall be at least three-eighths of
4 an inch square but may be up to one-half inch square. Voting
5 squares for measures may be as tall as is required by the space
6 occupied by the title and summary.

7 *SEC. 52. Section 13230 of the Elections Code is amended to*
8 *read:*

9 13230. (a) If the county elections official determines that, due
10 to the number of candidates and measures that must be printed on
11 the ballot, the ballot will be larger than may be conveniently
12 handled, the county elections official may provide that a
13 nonpartisan ballot shall be given to each partisan voter, together
14 with his or her partisan ballot, and that the material appearing
15 under the heading—~~“Nonpartisan~~ “*Voter Nominated and*
16 *Nonpartisan Offices*” on partisan ballots, as well as the heading
17 itself, shall be omitted from the partisan ballots.

18 (b) If the county elections official so provides, the procedure
19 prescribed for the handling and canvassing of ballots shall be
20 modified to the extent necessary to permit the use of two ballots
21 by partisan voters. The county elections official may, in this case,
22 order the second ballot to be printed on paper of a different tint,
23 and assign to those ballots numbers higher than those assigned to
24 the ballots containing partisan offices.

25 (c) “Partisan voters,” for purposes of this section, includes *both*
26 *persons who have disclosed a party preference pursuant to Section*
27 *2151 or 2152 and persons who have declined to—state disclose a*
28 *party—affiliation preference*, but who have chosen to vote the ballot
29 of a political party as authorized by that party’s rules duly noticed
30 to the Secretary of State.

31 *SEC. 53. Section 13300 of the Elections Code is amended to*
32 *read:*

33 13300. (a) By at least 29 days before the primary, each county
34 elections official shall prepare separate sample ballots for each
35 political party and a separate sample nonpartisan ballot, placing
36 thereon in each case in the order provided in Chapter 2
37 (commencing with Section 13100), and under the appropriate title
38 of each office, the names of all candidates for whom nomination
39 papers have been duly filed with him or her or have been certified

1 to him or her by the Secretary of State to be voted for in his or her
2 county at the primary election.

3 (b) The sample ballot shall be identical to the official ballots,
4 except as otherwise provided by law. The sample ballots shall be
5 printed on paper of a different texture from the paper to be used
6 for the official ballot.

7 (c) One sample ballot of the party ~~to~~ *for* which the voter ~~belongs~~
8 *has disclosed a preference*, as evidenced by his or her registration,
9 shall be mailed to each voter entitled to vote at the primary who
10 registered at least 29 days prior to the election not more than 40
11 nor less than 10 days before the election. A nonpartisan sample
12 ballot shall be so mailed to each voter who is not registered as
13 ~~intending to affiliate~~ *preferring* with any of the parties participating
14 in the primary election, provided that on election day any person
15 may, upon request, vote the ballot of a political party if authorized
16 by the party's rules, duly noticed to the Secretary of State.

17 *SEC. 54. Section 13302 of the Elections Code is amended to*
18 *read:*

19 13302. (a) The county elections official shall forthwith submit
20 the sample ballot of each political party to the chairperson of the
21 county central committee of that party, and shall mail a copy to
22 each candidate for whom nomination papers have been filed in his
23 or her office or whose name has been certified to him or her by
24 the Secretary of State, to the post office address as given in the
25 nomination paper or certification. The county elections official
26 shall post a copy of each sample ballot in a conspicuous place in
27 his or her office.

28 (b) *In connection with any election at which a candidate for a*
29 *voter-nominated office will appear on the ballot, any qualified*
30 *political party may submit to the county elections official a list of*
31 *all candidates for voter-nominated office who will appear on any*
32 *ballot in the county in question, and who have been endorsed by*
33 *the party by whatever lawful mechanism the party adopts for*
34 *endorsing candidates for voter-nominated office. The county*
35 *elections official shall print any such list that is timely received in*
36 *the sample ballot. The party chair shall provide a written copy of*
37 *the list of candidates endorsed or nominated by the party not later*
38 *than 83 days prior to the election at which the candidate for a*
39 *voter-nominated office will appear on the ballot.*

1 *SEC. 55. Section 13305 of the Elections Code is amended to*
2 *read:*

3 13305. (a) In each county, the county central committee of
4 each qualified political party may supply to its county elections
5 official, not less than 83 days prior to the direct primary election,
6 a party contributor envelope or a one-page letter, in which both
7 sides may be utilized, to be included in the mailing of the sample
8 ballot to each of ~~that political party's~~ *the* registered voters in the
9 county *who have disclosed a preference for that same party on*
10 *the voter's affidavit of registration.* In lieu of supplying the
11 elections official with a sufficient number of copies of the one-page
12 letter, a county central committee may supply the elections official,
13 not less than 83 days before the direct primary election, with the
14 text of the letter and request the elections official to print, or cause
15 to be printed, a sufficient number of copies of the letter to
16 accommodate the mailing. The elections official shall notify the
17 respective county committee of, and the committee shall reimburse
18 the county for, any actual costs incurred by the inclusion or
19 printing, or both. The elections official may, prior to acting
20 pursuant to this subdivision, require the county committee to post
21 a bond to ensure the reimbursement.

22 (b) Each envelope or letter shall contain a space for the name
23 and address of the contributor, and shall contain language which
24 informs the contributor of the manner in which the money received
25 shall be spent. The language on the envelope or letter shall not
26 contain words critical of any other political party.

27 (c) All funds received by the return of the party contributor
28 envelopes or in response to the letters shall be kept separate from
29 all other funds and shall be kept in a fund (account) to be
30 established in each county. Any funds which are prohibited under
31 federal law from being used for candidates for federal office shall
32 be further segregated and any portion allocated to candidates shall
33 be disbursed only to candidates for state office.

34 *SEC. 56. Section 14105.1 is added to the Elections Code, to*
35 *read:*

36 14105.1. *In addition to the materials identified in Section*
37 *14105, the elections official shall furnish to the precinct officers*
38 *printed copies of the notices specified in Section 9083.5, as*
39 *supplied by the Secretary of State. The notices shall be*
40 *conspicuously posted both inside and outside every polling place.*

1 *SEC. 57. Section 15451 of the Elections Code is amended to*
2 *read:*

3 15451. ~~The person who receives the highest number of votes~~
4 ~~at a primary election as the candidate of a political party for the~~
5 ~~nomination to an office is the nominee of that party at the ensuing~~
6 ~~general election nominees for a voter-nominated office shall be~~
7 ~~determined in accordance with Section 8141.5 and subdivision (b)~~
8 ~~of Section 8142.~~

9 *SEC. 58. Section 15452 of the Elections Code is amended to*
10 *read:*

11 15452. The person who receives a plurality of the votes cast
12 for any office is elected or nominated to that office in any election,
13 except:

14 (a) An election for which different provision is made by any
15 city or county charter.

16 (b) A municipal election for which different provision is made
17 by the laws under which the city is organized.

18 (c) The election of local officials in primary elections as
19 specified in Article 8 (commencing with Section 8140) of Part 1
20 of Division 8.

21 (d) *The nomination of candidates for voter-nominated office at*
22 *the primary election to participate in the general election for that*
23 *office as specified in Article 8 (commencing with Section 8140) of*
24 *Part 1 of Division 8.*

25 *SEC. 59. Section 15670 of the Elections Code is amended to*
26 *read:*

27 15670. This article applies only to:

28 (a) Candidates for delegates to a national convention for the
29 nomination of party candidates for President and Vice President
30 of the United States.

31 (b) Candidates for nomination at the direct primary to offices
32 other than nonpartisan offices *or voter-nominated offices.*

33 *SEC. 60. Section 15671 of the Elections Code is amended to*
34 *read:*

35 15671. ~~In case of a tie vote for member of the State Board of~~
36 ~~Equalization, state Senator, Member of the Assembly,~~
37 ~~Representative in Congress or member of a county central~~
38 ~~committee, where the office is to be voted for wholly within one~~
39 ~~county, the election board shall forthwith summon the candidates~~
40 ~~who have received tie votes to appear before it, at a time and place~~

1 to be designated by the board, and the board shall at that time and
2 place determine the tie by lot.

3 *SEC. 61. Section 19300 of the Elections Code is amended to*
4 *read:*

5 19300. A voting machine shall, except at a direct primary
6 election *or any election at which a candidate for voter-nominated*
7 *office is to appear on the ballot*, permit the voter to vote for all the
8 candidates of one party or in part for the candidates of one party
9 and in part for the candidates of one or more other parties.

10 *SEC. 62. Section 19301 of the Elections Code is amended to*
11 *read:*

12 19301. (a) A voting machine shall provide in the general
13 election for grouping under the name of the office to be voted on,
14 all the candidates for the office with the designation of the parties,
15 if any, by which they were respectively nominated *or which they*
16 *designated pursuant to Section 8002.5.*

17 ~~The~~

18 (b) *With respect to party-nominated offices, the designation*
19 *may be by usual or reasonable abbreviation of party names. With*
20 *respect to voter-nominated offices, the voting machine shall*
21 *conform to the format specified in subdivision (b) of Section 13105.*

22 *SEC. 63. Section 88001 of the Government Code is amended*
23 *to read:*

24 88001. The ballot pamphlet shall contain all of the following:

25 (a) A complete copy of each state measure.

26 (b) A copy of the specific constitutional or statutory provision,
27 if any, that would be repealed or revised by each state measure.

28 (c) A copy of the arguments and rebuttals for and against each
29 state measure.

30 (d) A copy of the analysis of each state measure.

31 (e) Tables of contents, indexes, art work, graphics and other
32 materials that the Secretary of State determines will make the ballot
33 pamphlet easier to understand or more useful for the average voter.

34 (f) A notice, conspicuously printed on the cover of the ballot
35 pamphlet, indicating that additional copies of the ballot pamphlet
36 will be mailed by the county elections official upon request.

37 (g) A written explanation of the judicial retention procedure as
38 required by Section 9083 of the Elections Code.

39 (h) The Voter Bill of Rights pursuant to Section 2300 of the
40 Elections Code.

1 (i) If the ballot contains an election for the office of United
2 States Senator, information on candidates for United States Senator.
3 A candidate for United States Senator may purchase the space to
4 place a statement in the state ballot pamphlet that does not exceed
5 250 words. The statement may not make any reference to any
6 opponent of the candidate. The statement shall be submitted in
7 accordance with timeframes and procedures set forth by the
8 Secretary of State for the preparation of the state ballot pamphlet.

9 (j) If the ballot contains a question as to the confirmation or
10 retention of a justice of the Supreme Court, information on justices
11 of the Supreme Court who are subject to confirmation or retention.

12 (k) If the ballot contains an election for the offices of President
13 and Vice President of the United States, a notice that refers voters
14 to the Secretary of State's Internet Web site for information about
15 candidates for the offices of President and Vice President of the
16 United States.

17 (l) *A written explanation of the appropriate election procedures*
18 *for party-nominated, voter-nominated, and nonpartisan offices as*
19 *required by Section 9083.5 of the Elections Code.*

20 *SEC. 64. This measure shall be interpreted so as to be*
21 *consistent with all federal and state laws, rules, and regulations.*
22 *This measure shall be broadly construed in order to achieve the*
23 *purposes of the measure above. It is the intent of the Legislature*
24 *that the provisions of this measure be interpreted or implemented*
25 *in a manner that facilitates the purposes set forth in this measure.*

26 *SEC. 65. If any provision of this measure, or part thereof, is*
27 *for any reason held to be invalid or unconstitutional, the remaining*
28 *provisions shall not be affected, but shall remain in full force and*
29 *effect, and to this end the provisions of this measure are severable.*
30 *The Legislature declares that this measure, and each section,*
31 *subdivision, sentence, clause, phrase, part, or portion thereof,*
32 *would have been passed irrespective of the fact that any one or*
33 *more sections, subdivisions, sentences, clauses, phrases, parts, or*
34 *portions is found to be invalid. If any provision of this measure is*
35 *held invalid as applied to any person or circumstance, such*
36 *invalidity does not affect any application of this measure that can*
37 *be given effect without the invalid application.*

38 *SEC. 66. If the Commission on State Mandates determines that*
39 *this act contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement to*
40 *local agencies and school districts for those costs shall be made*

1 pursuant to Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division
2 4 of Title 2 of the Government Code.

3 SEC. 67. This measure shall become operative only if SCA 4
4 is approved by the voters.

5 SECTION 1. ~~Section 25214.8 of the Health and Safety Code~~
6 ~~is repealed.~~

7 SEC. 2. ~~Section 25214.8 is added to the Health and Safety~~
8 ~~Code, to read:~~

9 ~~25214.8. (a) (1) An automobile dismantler, as defined in~~
10 ~~Section 220 of the Vehicle Code, or an owner or operator of a~~
11 ~~junkyard, as defined in subdivision (e) of Section 746 of the Streets~~
12 ~~and Highways Code, shall ensure that all mercury switches in an~~
13 ~~end-of-life vehicle are properly removed prior to the destruction~~
14 ~~of that vehicle.~~

15 ~~(2) An automobile dismantler, as defined in Section 220 of the~~
16 ~~Vehicle Code, or an owner or operator of a junkyard, as defined~~
17 ~~in subdivision (e) of Section 746 of the Streets and Highways~~
18 ~~Code, shall inspect an end-of-life vehicle to ensure that all mercury~~
19 ~~switches have been removed from the vehicle prior to destruction~~
20 ~~of that vehicle.~~

21 ~~(b) A dealer, as defined in Section 285 of the Vehicle Code, or~~
22 ~~an automobile manufacturer shall not be responsible for the~~
23 ~~removal of mercury switches from an end-of-life vehicle.~~

24 ~~(c) For the purposes of this section, the following terms mean~~
25 ~~the following:~~

26 ~~(1) "End-of-life vehicle" means a vehicle that is sold, given, or~~
27 ~~otherwise conveyed to an automobile dismantler, or an owner or~~
28 ~~operator of a junkyard.~~

29 ~~(2) "Mercury switch" means a mercury light switch, a mercury~~
30 ~~relay, as defined in paragraph (3) of subdivision (b) of Section~~
31 ~~25214.8.1, or a mercury switch, as defined in paragraph (4) of~~
32 ~~subdivision (b) of Section 25214.8.1.~~

33 SEC. 3. ~~No reimbursement is required by this act pursuant to~~
34 ~~Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution because~~
35 ~~the only costs that may be incurred by a local agency or school~~
36 ~~district will be incurred because this act creates a new crime or~~
37 ~~infraction, eliminates a crime or infraction, or changes the penalty~~
38 ~~for a crime or infraction, within the meaning of Section 17556 of~~
39 ~~the Government Code, or changes the definition of a crime within~~

1 ~~the meaning of Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California~~
2 ~~Constitution.~~

O

EXHIBIT D

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|-----|
| <u>ABOUT THIS STATEMENT OF VOTE</u> | 1 |
| <u>REGISTRATION AND PARTICIPATION</u> | |
| Voter Registration Statistics by County | 2 |
| Voter Participation Statistics by County | 3 |
| Historical Voter Registration and Participation in Statewide Primary Elections 1914 - 2012 | 4 |
| <u>SPECIAL ELECTION RESULTS</u> | |
| State Senator, 1 st District, Special General Election | 6 |
| State Senator, 17 th District, Special Primary Election | 7 |
| State Senator, 28 th District, Special Primary Election | 8 |
| State Assemblymember, 4 th District, Special Primary Election | 9 |
| State Assemblymember, 4 th District, Special General Election | 10 |
| United States Congress, 36 th District, Special Primary Election | 11 |
| United States Congress, 36 th District, Special General Election | 12 |
| <u>VOTE SUMMARIES</u> | |
| Statement of Vote Summary Pages | 13 |
| How California Voted in Presidential Primary Elections – 1912 - 2012 | 24 |
| <u>VOTING SYSTEMS USED BY COUNTIES</u> | 25 |
| <u>THE STATEMENT OF VOTE</u> | |
| Certificate of the Secretary of State | 27 |
| President by County | 28 |
| Democratic President by Congressional District | 43 |
| Republican President by Congressional District | 56 |
| United States Senator by County | 70 |
| United States Representative by District | 82 |
| State Senator by District (odd-numbered districts only) | 97 |
| State Assemblymember by District | 102 |
| State Ballot Measures (Propositions 28 and 29) by County | 123 |

VOTER PARTICIPATION STATISTICS BY COUNTY

| County | Number of Precincts | Eligible to Register | Registered Voters | Precinct Voters | Vote-By-Mail Voters | Total Voters | Percent of Vote-By-Mail Voters | Turnout Registered | Turnout Eligible |
|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Alameda | 1,004 | 999,688 | 752,331 | 76,673 | 162,631 | 239,304 | 67.96% | 31.81% | 23.94% |
| Alpine | 5 | 878 | 813 | 0 | 476 | 476 | 100.00% | 58.55% | 54.21% |
| Amador | 59 | 27,411 | 20,739 | 4,000 | 7,839 | 11,839 | 66.21% | 57.09% | 43.19% |
| Butte | 143 | 166,343 | 114,220 | 14,165 | 38,374 | 52,539 | 73.04% | 46.00% | 31.58% |
| Calaveras | 36 | 35,748 | 28,050 | 3,765 | 10,157 | 13,922 | 72.96% | 49.63% | 38.94% |
| Colusa | 18 | 12,326 | 7,631 | 1,389 | 2,213 | 3,602 | 61.44% | 47.20% | 29.22% |
| Contra Costa | 646 | 700,607 | 520,098 | 59,195 | 133,566 | 192,761 | 69.29% | 37.06% | 27.51% |
| Del Norte | 18 | 18,288 | 11,815 | 1,826 | 3,416 | 5,242 | 65.17% | 44.37% | 28.66% |
| El Dorado | 92 | 134,133 | 104,856 | 9,264 | 39,208 | 48,472 | 80.89% | 46.23% | 36.14% |
| Fresno | 484 | 556,382 | 390,587 | 38,890 | 75,085 | 113,975 | 65.88% | 29.18% | 20.49% |
| Glenn | 34 | 18,043 | 12,077 | 969 | 4,396 | 5,365 | 81.94% | 44.42% | 29.73% |
| Humboldt | 130 | 104,453 | 76,156 | 12,711 | 19,293 | 32,004 | 60.28% | 42.02% | 30.64% |
| Imperial | 147 | 92,162 | 55,090 | 7,299 | 10,384 | 17,683 | 58.72% | 32.10% | 19.19% |
| Inyo | 21 | 13,585 | 9,489 | 1,600 | 3,500 | 5,100 | 68.63% | 53.75% | 37.54% |
| Kern | 406 | 483,697 | 325,125 | 35,701 | 68,643 | 104,344 | 65.79% | 32.09% | 21.57% |
| Kings | 183 | 77,888 | 47,349 | 3,264 | 12,003 | 15,267 | 78.62% | 32.24% | 19.60% |
| Lake | 70 | 47,459 | 33,553 | 4,781 | 9,493 | 14,274 | 66.51% | 42.54% | 30.08% |
| Lassen | 52 | 18,422 | 13,719 | 1,671 | 5,611 | 7,282 | 77.05% | 53.08% | 39.53% |
| Los Angeles | 4,786 | 5,959,291 | 4,459,268 | 541,463 | 431,811 | 973,274 | 44.37% | 21.83% | 16.33% |
| Madera | 54 | 86,137 | 52,826 | 5,654 | 14,884 | 20,538 | 72.47% | 38.88% | 23.84% |
| Marin | 155 | 176,181 | 146,755 | 20,863 | 52,243 | 73,106 | 71.46% | 49.81% | 41.49% |
| Mariposa | 25 | 14,517 | 10,523 | 1,598 | 4,092 | 5,690 | 71.92% | 54.07% | 39.20% |
| Mendocino | 247 | 62,919 | 47,546 | 3,171 | 16,945 | 20,116 | 84.24% | 42.31% | 31.97% |
| Merced | 126 | 146,679 | 92,759 | 8,964 | 16,097 | 25,061 | 64.23% | 27.02% | 17.09% |
| Modoc | 21 | 7,304 | 5,371 | 774 | 1,912 | 2,686 | 71.18% | 50.01% | 36.77% |
| Mono | 13 | 9,897 | 5,547 | 1,016 | 1,846 | 2,862 | 64.50% | 51.60% | 28.92% |
| Monterey | 188 | 231,244 | 157,041 | 11,805 | 46,413 | 58,218 | 79.72% | 37.07% | 25.18% |
| Napa | 157 | 90,847 | 68,330 | 2,616 | 26,689 | 29,305 | 91.07% | 42.89% | 32.26% |
| Nevada | 74 | 76,426 | 60,590 | 6,408 | 24,925 | 31,333 | 79.55% | 51.71% | 41.00% |
| Orange | 1,976 | 1,916,528 | 1,612,145 | 145,474 | 281,395 | 426,869 | 65.92% | 26.48% | 22.27% |
| Placer | 281 | 249,916 | 194,705 | 21,276 | 67,743 | 89,019 | 76.10% | 45.72% | 35.62% |
| Plumas | 29 | 15,858 | 12,894 | 1,564 | 4,996 | 6,560 | 76.16% | 50.88% | 41.37% |
| Riverside | 853 | 1,351,562 | 852,217 | 70,045 | 168,107 | 238,152 | 70.59% | 27.94% | 17.62% |
| Sacramento | 1,041 | 941,160 | 653,391 | 71,640 | 161,103 | 232,743 | 69.22% | 35.62% | 24.73% |
| San Benito | 42 | 33,439 | 24,899 | 2,581 | 6,664 | 9,245 | 72.08% | 37.13% | 27.65% |
| San Bernardino | 1,567 | 1,253,049 | 815,087 | 70,533 | 122,984 | 193,517 | 63.55% | 23.74% | 15.44% |
| San Diego | 1,643 | 2,084,578 | 1,465,269 | 187,942 | 360,520 | 548,462 | 65.73% | 37.43% | 26.31% |
| San Francisco | 601 | 601,851 | 470,606 | 49,779 | 95,326 | 145,105 | 65.69% | 30.83% | 24.11% |
| San Joaquin | 418 | 417,819 | 271,250 | 22,615 | 71,824 | 94,439 | 76.05% | 34.82% | 22.60% |
| San Luis Obispo | 141 | 200,531 | 147,276 | 19,592 | 51,973 | 71,565 | 72.62% | 48.59% | 35.69% |
| San Mateo | 467 | 477,460 | 337,757 | 33,793 | 89,537 | 123,330 | 72.60% | 36.51% | 25.83% |
| Santa Barbara | 264 | 275,629 | 191,061 | 25,836 | 59,599 | 85,435 | 69.76% | 44.72% | 31.00% |
| Santa Clara | 874 | 1,116,131 | 755,117 | 55,518 | 237,195 | 292,713 | 81.03% | 38.76% | 26.23% |
| Santa Cruz | 183 | 182,516 | 146,980 | 23,671 | 34,855 | 58,526 | 59.55% | 39.82% | 32.07% |
| Shasta | 129 | 133,615 | 96,366 | 13,650 | 31,583 | 45,233 | 69.82% | 46.94% | 33.85% |
| Sierra | 22 | 2,560 | 2,247 | 0 | 1,331 | 1,331 | 100.00% | 59.23% | 51.99% |
| Siskiyou | 59 | 34,500 | 25,343 | 3,253 | 8,830 | 12,083 | 73.08% | 47.68% | 35.02% |
| Solano | 176 | 273,871 | 197,604 | 18,688 | 50,179 | 68,867 | 72.86% | 34.85% | 25.15% |
| Sonoma | 374 | 338,678 | 248,216 | 28,216 | 87,647 | 115,863 | 75.65% | 46.68% | 34.21% |
| Stanislaus | 387 | 321,774 | 220,521 | 14,365 | 55,456 | 69,821 | 79.43% | 31.66% | 21.70% |
| Sutter | 57 | 59,158 | 40,255 | 4,275 | 13,016 | 17,291 | 75.28% | 42.95% | 29.23% |
| Tehama | 46 | 43,209 | 30,476 | 3,687 | 10,281 | 13,968 | 73.60% | 45.83% | 32.33% |
| Trinity | 25 | 11,348 | 7,948 | 1,120 | 2,948 | 4,068 | 72.47% | 51.18% | 35.85% |
| Tulare | 138 | 250,747 | 139,946 | 12,503 | 29,098 | 41,601 | 69.95% | 29.73% | 16.59% |
| Tuolumne | 70 | 39,418 | 31,287 | 3,418 | 12,656 | 16,074 | 78.74% | 51.38% | 40.78% |
| Ventura | 573 | 532,967 | 406,644 | 52,883 | 80,917 | 133,800 | 60.48% | 32.90% | 25.10% |
| Yolo | 117 | 137,308 | 96,961 | 13,700 | 22,877 | 36,577 | 62.54% | 37.72% | 26.64% |
| Yuba | 46 | 46,892 | 28,977 | 3,614 | 6,785 | 10,399 | 65.25% | 35.89% | 22.18% |
| State Total | 21,993 | 23,713,027 | 17,153,699 | 1,856,726 | 3,471,570 | 5,328,296 | 65.15% | 31.06% | 22.47% |
| Percent | | | 72.34% | 34.85% | 65.15% | | | | |

STATEMENT OF VOTE SUMMARY PAGES

| President Democratic | Votes | Percent |
|-------------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Barack Obama* | 2,075,905 | 100% |
| Darcy Richardson (W/I) | 221 | 0% |
| Luis Alberto Ramos, Jr. (W/I) | 54 | 0% |
| Michael W.R. Meyer, Jr. (W/I) | 129 | 0% |

| President Republican | Votes | Percent |
|--------------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Charles E. "Buddy" Roemer, III | 12,520 | 0.7% |
| Fred Karger | 8,393 | 0.4% |
| Mitt Romney | 1,530,513 | 79.5% |
| Newt Gingrich | 72,022 | 3.7% |
| Rick Santorum | 102,258 | 5.3% |
| Ron Paul | 199,246 | 10.4% |
| Donald James Gonzales (W/I) | 5 | 0% |
| Jeremy Hannon (W/I) | 11 | 0% |
| Sheldon Yeu Howard (W/I) | 2 | 0% |

| President American Independent | Votes | Percent |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Edward C. Noonan | 16,926 | 38.7% |
| Laurie Roth | 16,326 | 37.4% |
| Mad Max Riekse | 10,430 | 23.9% |
| Andrew Abe Diaz (W/I) | 4 | 0% |
| Raymond Delmond Smith (W/I) | 6 | 0% |

| President Green | Votes | Percent |
|------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Jill Stein | 9,165 | 49.4% |
| Kent Mesplay | 2,005 | 10.8% |
| Roseanne Barr | 7,399 | 39.8% |

| President Libertarian | Votes | Percent |
|------------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Barbara Joy Waymire | 2,118 | 15.6% |
| Bill Still | 670 | 4.9% |
| Carl Person | 523 | 3.9% |
| Gary Johnson | 6,780 | 50% |
| James Ogle | 558 | 4.1% |
| Lee Wrights | 479 | 3.5% |
| R. J. Harris | 670 | 4.9% |
| Roger Gary | 559 | 4.1% |
| Scott Keller | 1,208 | 8.9% |

| President Peace and Freedom | Votes | Percent |
|------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Ross C. "Rocky" Anderson | 1,589 | 43.4% |
| Stephen Durham | 971 | 26.5% |
| Stewart Alexander | 1,094 | 29.9% |
| Leon Leo Ray (W/I) | 2 | 0.1% |
| Ronald Clinton Forbes (W/I) | 2 | 0.1% |
| Shelley Upchurch (W/I) | 4 | 0.1% |

STATEMENT OF VOTE SUMMARY PAGES

| United States Senator | Votes | Percent | United States Representative District 3 | Votes | Percent |
|--|--------------|----------------|--|--------------|----------------|
| Colleen Shea Fernald, DEM | 51,623 | 1.1% | John Garamendi*, DEM | 59,546 | 51.5% |
| David Alex Levitt, DEM | 76,482 | 1.6% | Eugene Ray, REP | 2,438 | 2.1% |
| Diane Stewart, DEM | 97,782 | 2% | Kim Vann, REP | 30,254 | 26.2% |
| Dianne Feinstein*, DEM | 2,392,822 | 49.3% | Rick Tubbs, REP | 17,902 | 15.5% |
| Mike Strimling, DEM | 97,024 | 2% | Tony Carlos, REP | 5,541 | 4.8% |
| Nak Shah, DEM | 27,203 | 0.6% | | | |
| Al Ramirez, REP | 109,399 | 2.3% | United States Representative District 4 | Votes | Percent |
| Dan Hughes, REP | 323,840 | 6.7% | Jack Uppal, DEM | 62,130 | 35.2% |
| Dennis Jackson, REP | 137,120 | 2.8% | Tom McClintock*, REP | 114,311 | 64.8% |
| Dirk Allen Konopik, REP | 29,997 | 0.6% | | | |
| Donald Krampe, REP | 39,035 | 0.8% | United States Representative District 5 | Votes | Percent |
| Elizabeth Emken, REP | 613,613 | 12.6% | Mike Thompson*, DEM | 95,748 | 72.2% |
| Greg Conlon, REP | 135,421 | 2.8% | Randy Loftin, REP | 22,137 | 16.7% |
| John Boruff, REP | 29,357 | 0.6% | Stewart John Cilley, REP | 14,734 | 11.1% |
| Nachum Shifren, REP | 21,762 | 0.4% | | | |
| Orly Taitz, REP | 154,781 | 3.2% | United States Representative District 6 | Votes | Percent |
| Oscar Alejandro Braun, REP | 75,842 | 1.6% | Doris Matsui*, DEM | 67,174 | 71.4% |
| Rick Williams, REP | 157,946 | 3.3% | Erik Smitt, REP | 11,254 | 12% |
| Robert Lauten, REP | 56,524 | 1.2% | Joseph Mc Cray, Sr., REP | 15,647 | 16.6% |
| Rogelio T. Gloria, REP | 22,529 | 0.5% | | | |
| Don J. Grundmann, AI | 33,037 | 0.7% | United States Representative District 7 | Votes | Percent |
| Gail K. Lightfoot, LIB | 101,648 | 2.1% | Ami Bera, DEM | 49,433 | 41% |
| Kabiruddin Karim Ali, PF | 12,269 | 0.3% | Dan Lungren*, REP | 63,586 | 52.7% |
| Marsha Feinland, PF | 57,720 | 1.2% | Douglas Arthur Tuma, LIB | 3,707 | 3.1% |
| Linda R. Price (W/I), REP | 25 | 0% | Curt Taras, NPP | 3,854 | 3.2% |
| | | | | | |
| United States Representative District 1 | Votes | Percent | United States Representative District 8 | Votes | Percent |
| Jim Reed, DEM | 43,409 | 24.8% | Jackie Conaway, DEM | 11,674 | 14.3% |
| Nathan Arrowsmith, DEM | 8,598 | 4.9% | John Pinkerton, DEM | 7,941 | 9.7% |
| Doug La Malfa, REP | 66,527 | 37.9% | Angela Valles, REP | 4,924 | 6% |
| Gregory Cheadle, REP | 4,939 | 2.8% | Bill Jensen, REP | 1,850 | 2.3% |
| Michael Dacquisto, REP | 10,530 | 6% | Brad Mitzelfelt, REP | 8,801 | 10.8% |
| Pete Stiglich, REP | 10,258 | 5.8% | Dennis L. Albertsen, REP | 761 | 0.9% |
| Sam Aaneslad, REP | 25,224 | 14.4% | George T. Craig, REP | 1,376 | 1.7% |
| Gary Allen Oxley, NPP | 5,901 | 3.4% | Gregg Imus, REP | 12,754 | 15.6% |
| | | | Joseph D. Napolitano, REP | 1,050 | 1.3% |
| United States Representative District 2 | Votes | Percent | Paul Cook, REP | 12,517 | 15.3% |
| Andy Caffrey, DEM | 1,737 | 1% | Phil Liberatore, REP | 12,277 | 15% |
| Jared Huffman, DEM | 63,922 | 37.5% | Ryan McEachron, REP | 3,181 | 3.9% |
| Larry Fritzlán, DEM | 1,151 | 0.7% | Anthony Adams, NPP | 2,750 | 3.4% |
| Norman Solomon, DEM | 25,462 | 14.9% | | | |
| Stacey Lawson, DEM | 16,946 | 9.9% | United States Representative District 9 | Votes | Percent |
| Susan L. Adams, DEM | 14,041 | 8.2% | Jerry McNerney*, DEM | 45,696 | 47.8% |
| Tiffany Renée, DEM | 3,033 | 1.8% | John McDonald, REP | 11,458 | 12% |
| William L. Courtney, DEM | 2,385 | 1.4% | Ricky Gill, REP | 38,488 | 40.2% |
| Daniel W. Roberts, REP | 25,635 | 15% | | | |
| Mike Halliwell, REP | 10,008 | 5.9% | | | |
| Brooke Clarke, NPP | 3,715 | 2.2% | | | |
| John Lewallen, NPP | 2,488 | 1.5% | | | |

* Incumbent

STATEMENT OF VOTE SUMMARY PAGES

| | | | | | |
|---|--------------|----------------|---|--------------|----------------|
| United States Representative District 10 | Votes | Percent | United States Representative District 18 | Votes | Percent |
| Jose Hernandez, DEM | 26,072 | 28% | Anna G. Eshoo*, DEM | 86,851 | 61.5% |
| Michael J. "Mike" Barkley, DEM | 5,028 | 5.4% | William Parks, DEM | 6,504 | 4.6% |
| Jeff Denham*, REP | 45,779 | 49.2% | Dave Chapman, REP | 42,174 | 29.8% |
| Chad M. Condit, NPP | 13,983 | 15% | Carol Brouillet, GRN | 5,777 | 4.1% |
| Troy Wayne McComak, NPP | 2,114 | 2.3% | | | |
| | | | United States Representative District 19 | Votes | Percent |
| United States Representative District 11 | Votes | Percent | Zoe Lofgren*, DEM | 60,726 | 65.2% |
| Cheryl Sudduth, DEM | 4,635 | 3.6% | Phat Nguyen, REP | 7,192 | 7.7% |
| George Miller*, DEM | 76,163 | 58.5% | Robert Murray, REP | 21,421 | 23% |
| John Fitzgerald, DEM | 9,092 | 7% | Jay Blas Jacob Cabrera, NPP | 3,829 | 4.1% |
| Virginia Fuller, REP | 40,333 | 31% | | | |
| | | | United States Representative District 20 | Votes | Percent |
| United States Representative District 12 | Votes | Percent | Art Dunn, DEM | 4,095 | 3.8% |
| Américo Arturo Diaz, DEM | 1,499 | 1.3% | Sam Farr*, DEM | 68,895 | 64.4% |
| David Peterson, DEM | 3,756 | 3.1% | Jeff Taylor, REP | 23,905 | 22.3% |
| Nancy Pelosi*, DEM | 89,446 | 74.9% | Mike Lebarre, REP | 5,487 | 5.1% |
| Summer Justice Shields, DEM | 2,146 | 1.8% | Eric Petersen, GRN | 2,211 | 2.1% |
| John Dennis, REP | 16,206 | 13.6% | Dan Caudle, NPP | 703 | 0.7% |
| Barry Hermanson, GRN | 6,398 | 5.4% | Ronald Paul Kabat, NPP | 1,733 | 1.6% |
| | | | | | |
| United States Representative District 13 | Votes | Percent | United States Representative District 21 | Votes | Percent |
| Barbara Lee*, DEM | 94,709 | 83.1% | Blong Xiong, DEM | 9,990 | 20.9% |
| Justin Jelincic, DEM | 5,741 | 5% | John Hernandez, DEM | 10,575 | 22.1% |
| Marilyn M. Singleton, NPP | 13,502 | 11.8% | David G. Valadao, REP | 27,251 | 57% |
| | | | | | |
| United States Representative District 14 | Votes | Percent | United States Representative District 22 | Votes | Percent |
| Jackie Speier*, DEM | 80,850 | 74.3% | Otto Lee, DEM | 28,091 | 29.4% |
| Michael J. Moloney, DEM | 4,607 | 4.2% | Devin G. Nunes*, REP | 67,386 | 70.6% |
| Deborah (Debbie) Bacigalupi, REP | 23,299 | 21.4% | | | |
| | | | United States Representative District 23 | Votes | Percent |
| United States Representative District 15 | Votes | Percent | Eric Parker, REP | 10,414 | 10.6% |
| Eric Swalwell, DEM | 34,347 | 36.2% | Kevin Mc Carthy*, REP | 71,109 | 72.2% |
| Fortney Pate Stark*, DEM | 39,943 | 42.1% | Terry Phillips, NPP | 17,018 | 17.3% |
| Christopher "Chris" J. Perea, NPP | 20,618 | 21.7% | | | |
| | | | United States Representative District 24 | Votes | Percent |
| United States Representative District 16 | Votes | Percent | Lois Capps*, DEM | 72,356 | 46.4% |
| Jim Costa*, DEM | 25,355 | 42.7% | Abel Maldonado, REP | 46,295 | 29.7% |
| Loraine Goodwin, DEM | 5,703 | 9.6% | Chris Mitchum, REP | 33,604 | 21.5% |
| Brian Daniel Whelan, REP | 15,053 | 25.3% | Matt Boutté, NPP | 3,832 | 2.5% |
| Johnny M. Tacherra, REP | 6,776 | 11.4% | | | |
| Mark Garcia, REP | 6,529 | 11% | United States Representative District 25 | Votes | Percent |
| | | | Lee C. Rogers, DEM | 23,542 | 29.7% |
| United States Representative District 17 | Votes | Percent | Cathie Wright, REP | 5,215 | 6.6% |
| Mike Honda*, DEM | 60,252 | 66.7% | Dante Acosta, REP | 10,387 | 13.1% |
| Evelyn Li, REP | 24,916 | 27.6% | Howard P. "Buck" McKeon*, REP | 39,997 | 50.5% |
| Charles Richardson, NPP | 5,163 | 5.7% | | | |

STATEMENT OF VOTE SUMMARY PAGES

| | | | | | |
|---|--------------|----------------|---|--------------|----------------|
| United States Representative District 26 | Votes | Percent | United States Representative District 33 | Votes | Percent |
| Albert Maxwell Goldberg, DEM | 1,880 | 1.7% | Bruce Margolin, DEM | 5,020 | 4.4% |
| David Cruz Thayne, DEM | 2,809 | 2.5% | Henry A. Waxman*, DEM | 51,235 | 45.3% |
| Jess Herrera, DEM | 7,244 | 6.5% | Tim Pape, DEM | 847 | 0.7% |
| Julia Brownley, DEM | 29,892 | 26.9% | Zein E. Obagi, DEM | 1,988 | 1.8% |
| Tony Strickland, REP | 49,043 | 44.1% | Christopher David, REP | 17,264 | 15.3% |
| Linda Parks, NPP | 20,301 | 18.3% | David William Steinman, GRN | 3,940 | 3.5% |
| | | | Steve Collett, LIB | 4,916 | 4.3% |
| | | | Bill Bloomfield, NPP | 27,850 | 24.6% |
| United States Representative District 27 | Votes | Percent | United States Representative District 34 | Votes | Percent |
| Judy Chu*, DEM | 50,203 | 57.8% | Xavier Becerra*, DEM | 27,939 | 77.3% |
| Bob Duran, REP | 15,819 | 18.2% | Stephen C. Smith, REP | 5,793 | 16% |
| Jack Orswell, REP | 20,868 | 24% | Howard Johnson, PF | 2,407 | 6.7% |
| | | | United States Representative District 35 | Votes | Percent |
| United States Representative District 28 | Votes | Percent | Gloria Negrete McLeod, DEM | 12,425 | 36.3% |
| Adam Schiff*, DEM | 42,797 | 59% | Joe Baca*, DEM | 15,388 | 45% |
| Jonathan Ryan Kalbfeld, DEM | 2,119 | 2.9% | Anthony W. Vleyra, GRN | 6,372 | 18.6% |
| Massie Munroe, DEM | 2,437 | 3.4% | | | |
| Sal Genovese, DEM | 2,829 | 3.9% | United States Representative District 36 | Votes | Percent |
| Garen Mailyan, REP | 3,749 | 5.2% | Raul Ruiz, DEM | 37,847 | 41.9% |
| Jenny Worman, REP | 5,978 | 8.2% | Mary Bono Mack*, REP | 52,474 | 58.1% |
| Phil Jennerjahn, REP | 12,633 | 17.4% | | | |
| | | | United States Representative District 37 | Votes | Percent |
| United States Representative District 29 | Votes | Percent | Karen R. Bass*, DEM | 54,345 | 99.9% |
| Richard A. Valdez, DEM | 5,379 | 13.9% | Morgan Osborne (W/I), REP | 36 | 0.1% |
| Tony Cardenas, DEM | 24,882 | 64.4% | Sean P. Mc Gary (W/I), LIB | 4 | 0% |
| David R. Hernandez, NPP | 8,382 | 21.7% | Adam Shbeita (W/I), PF | 8 | 0% |
| | | | United States Representative District 38 | Votes | Percent |
| United States Representative District 30 | Votes | Percent | Linda T. Sánchez*, DEM | 33,223 | 56% |
| Brad Sherman*, DEM | 40,589 | 42.4% | Benjamin Campos, REP | 13,363 | 22.5% |
| Howard L. Berman, DEM | 31,086 | 32.4% | Jorge Robles, REP | 12,713 | 21.4% |
| Vince Gilmore, DEM | 792 | 0.8% | | | |
| Mark Reed, REP | 11,991 | 12.5% | United States Representative District 39 | Votes | Percent |
| Navraj Singh, REP | 5,521 | 5.8% | Jay Chen, DEM | 28,457 | 30% |
| Susan Shelley, REP | 3,878 | 4% | Ed Royce*, REP | 62,874 | 66.3% |
| Michael W. Powelson, GRN | 1,976 | 2.1% | D'Marie Mulattieri, NPP | 3,561 | 3.8% |
| | | | | | |
| United States Representative District 31 | Votes | Percent | United States Representative District 40 | Votes | Percent |
| Justin Kim, DEM | 8,487 | 13.5% | David Sanchez, DEM | 8,777 | 34.6% |
| Pete Aguilar, DEM | 14,181 | 22.6% | Lucille Roybal-Allard*, DEM | 16,596 | 65.4% |
| Renea Wickman, DEM | 4,188 | 6.7% | | | |
| Rita Ramirez-Dean, DEM | 3,546 | 5.7% | United States Representative District 41 | Votes | Percent |
| Bob Dutton, REP | 15,557 | 24.8% | Anna Nevenic, DEM | 4,991 | 8.8% |
| Gary G. Miller*, REP | 16,708 | 26.7% | Mark Takano, DEM | 20,860 | 36.7% |
| | | | George Pearne, REP | 956 | 1.7% |
| United States Representative District 32 | Votes | Percent | John Tavaglione, REP | 25,379 | 44.6% |
| G. Bill Gonzalez, DEM | 6,322 | 12.1% | Vince Sawyer, REP | 4,723 | 8.3% |
| Grace F. Napolitano*, DEM | 24,094 | 46.1% | | | |
| David L. Miller, REP | 21,843 | 41.8% | | | |

STATEMENT OF VOTE SUMMARY PAGES

| | | | | | |
|---|--------------|----------------|---|--------------|----------------|
| United States Representative District 42 | Votes | Percent | United States Representative District 50 | Votes | Percent |
| Cliff Smith, DEM | 7,377 | 10.7% | Connie Frankowiak, DEM | 8,553 | 7.5% |
| Michael Williamson, DEM | 9,860 | 14.3% | David B. Secor, DEM | 19,142 | 16.8% |
| Clayton Thibodeau, REP | 6,374 | 9.2% | Duncan D. Hunter*, REP | 76,818 | 67.4% |
| Eva Johnson, REP | 5,678 | 8.2% | Terri Linnell, REP | 3,275 | 2.9% |
| Ken Calvert*, REP | 35,392 | 51.3% | Michael Benoit, LIB | 6,160 | 5.4% |
| Curt Novak, NPP | 4,254 | 6.2% | | | |
| | | | United States Representative District 51 | Votes | Percent |
| United States Representative District 43 | Votes | Percent | Daniel C. "Danny" Ramirez, DEM | 2,794 | 4.3% |
| Bob Flores, DEM | 19,061 | 34.6% | Denise Moreno Ducheny, DEM | 10,107 | 15.4% |
| Maxine Waters*, DEM | 36,062 | 65.4% | John Brooks, DEM | 3,290 | 5% |
| | | | Juan Vargas, DEM | 30,143 | 46% |
| United States Representative District 44 | Votes | Percent | Bernard Portley, REP | 1,667 | 2.5% |
| Janice Hahn, DEM | 24,843 | 60.1% | Michael Crimmins, REP | 13,016 | 19.9% |
| Laura Richardson*, DEM | 16,523 | 39.9% | Xanthi Gionis, REP | 4,487 | 6.8% |
| | | | | | |
| United States Representative District 45 | Votes | Percent | United States Representative District 52 | Votes | Percent |
| Sukhee Kang, DEM | 35,182 | 33% | Lori Saldaña, DEM | 33,387 | 22.1% |
| John Campbell*, REP | 54,346 | 51% | Scott Peters, DEM | 34,106 | 22.6% |
| John Webb, REP | 17,014 | 16% | Shirley Decourt-Park, DEM | 2,368 | 1.6% |
| | | | Brian Bilbray*, REP | 61,930 | 41% |
| United States Representative District 46 | Votes | Percent | Gene Hamilton Carswell, REP | 828 | 0.5% |
| Loretta Sanchez*, DEM | 25,706 | 52.1% | John K. Stahl, REP | 5,502 | 3.6% |
| Jerry Hayden, REP | 14,571 | 29.5% | John L. Subka, REP | 1,091 | 0.7% |
| John J. Cullum, REP | 5,251 | 10.6% | Wayne Iverson, REP | 4,476 | 3% |
| Pat Garcia, REP | 1,852 | 3.8% | Ehab T. Shehata, NPP | 1,156 | 0.8% |
| Jorge Rocha, NPP | 1,969 | 4% | Jack Doyle, NPP | 6,138 | 4.1% |
| | | | | | |
| United States Representative District 47 | Votes | Percent | United States Representative District 53 | Votes | Percent |
| Alan Lowenthal, DEM | 27,356 | 33.8% | Susan A. Davis*, DEM | 70,462 | 57.8% |
| Jay Shah, DEM | 2,273 | 2.8% | Nick Popaditch, REP | 51,423 | 42.2% |
| Peter Mathews, DEM | 7,951 | 9.8% | Joel A. Marchese (W/I), REP | 7 | 0% |
| Usha Shah, DEM | 2,350 | 2.9% | John R. Edwards (W/I), REP | 3 | 0% |
| Gary DeLong, REP | 23,831 | 29.4% | | | |
| Sanford W. Kahn, REP | 2,563 | 3.2% | State Senator District 1 | Votes | Percent |
| Steve Foley, REP | 5,848 | 7.2% | Julie Griffith-Flatter, DEM | 68,947 | 30.2% |
| Steven T. Kuykendall, REP | 8,769 | 10.8% | Les Baugh, REP | 37,442 | 16.4% |
| | | | Ted Gaines*, REP | 110,168 | 48.2% |
| United States Representative District 48 | Votes | Percent | "Bo" Bogdan I. Ambrozewicz, NPP | 11,923 | 5.2% |
| Ron Varasteh, DEM | 31,912 | 28.9% | | | |
| Dana Rohrabacher*, REP | 73,302 | 66.3% | State Senator District 3 | Votes | Percent |
| Alan Schlar, NPP | 5,355 | 4.8% | Lois Wolk*, DEM | 116,403 | 96.9% |
| | | | Frank Miranda (W/I), REP | 2,402 | 2% |
| United States Representative District 49 | Votes | Percent | Gary Clift (W/I), REP | 1,341 | 1.1% |
| Jerry Tetelman, DEM | 35,816 | 30.7% | | | |
| Darrell Issa*, REP | 71,329 | 61.1% | State Senator District 5 | Votes | Percent |
| Albin Novinec, NPP | 1,626 | 1.4% | Cathleen Galgiani, DEM | 52,148 | 40.7% |
| Dick Eiden, NPP | 7,988 | 6.8% | Bill Berryhill, REP | 45,819 | 35.8% |
| | | | Leroy Ornellas, REP | 30,109 | 23.5% |

STATEMENT OF VOTE SUMMARY PAGES

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|----------------|--|--------------|----------------|
| State Senator District 7 | Votes | Percent | State Senator District 29 | Votes | Percent |
| Mark DeSaulnier*, DEM | 91,224 | 57% | Greg Diamond, DEM | 38,169 | 35.7% |
| Mark P. Meuser, REP | 68,730 | 43% | Robert "Bob" Huff*, REP | 68,708 | 64.3% |
| State Senator District 9 | Votes | Percent | State Senator District 31 | Votes | Percent |
| Loni Hancock*, DEM | 123,624 | 99.3% | Richard Roth, DEM | 21,812 | 28.8% |
| Mary Catherine McIlroy (W/I), PF | 785 | 0.6% | Steve Clute, DEM | 15,191 | 20.1% |
| Lisa D. Ringer (W/I), LIB | 92 | 0.1% | Jeff Miller, REP | 38,641 | 51.1% |
| State Senator District 11 | Votes | Percent | State Senator District 33 | Votes | Percent |
| Mark Leno*, DEM | 118,023 | 82% | Ricardo Lara, DEM | 35,865 | 100% |
| Harmeet K. Dhillon, REP | 25,828 | 18% | Lee H. Chauser (W/I), PF | 3 | 0% |
| State Senator District 13 | Votes | Percent | State Senator District 35 | Votes | Percent |
| Christopher Kent Chiang, DEM | 16,317 | 11% | Paul Butterfield, DEM | 11,091 | 15.8% |
| Jerry Hill, DEM | 76,033 | 51.1% | Roderick "Rod" Wright*, DEM | 40,312 | 57.4% |
| Sally J. Lieber, DEM | 33,566 | 22.5% | Charlotte A. Svolos, REP | 18,793 | 26.8% |
| John H. Webster, LIB | 23,003 | 15.4% | | | |
| State Senator District 15 | Votes | Percent | State Senator District 37 | Votes | Percent |
| Jim Beall, DEM | 69,179 | 55.5% | Steve Young, DEM | 50,562 | 36.4% |
| Joe Coto, DEM | 55,387 | 44.5% | Mimi Walters*, REP | 88,321 | 63.6% |
| State Senator District 17 | Votes | Percent | State Senator District 39 | Votes | Percent |
| Bill Monning, DEM | 110,890 | 59.4% | Marty Block, DEM | 85,930 | 46.3% |
| Larry Beaman, REP | 75,713 | 40.6% | Patrick L. Marsh, DEM | 18,510 | 10% |
| | | | George Plescia, REP | 81,214 | 43.7% |
| State Senator District 19 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 1 | Votes | Percent |
| Hannah-Beth Jackson, DEM | 64,219 | 41.6% | Robert Meacher, DEM | 31,120 | 25.8% |
| Jason T. Hodge, DEM | 20,828 | 13.5% | Brian Dahle, REP | 41,384 | 34.2% |
| Mike Stoker, REP | 69,252 | 44.9% | Rick Bosetti, REP | 34,457 | 28.5% |
| | | | David Edwards, GRN | 7,381 | 6.1% |
| State Senator District 21 | Votes | Percent | Charley Hooper, LIB | 6,503 | 5.4% |
| Star Moffatt, DEM | 27,545 | 31% | State Assemblymember District 2 | Votes | Percent |
| Steve Knight, REP | 61,245 | 69% | Firenza Xuan Pini, DEM | 4,015 | 4.3% |
| State Senator District 23 | Votes | Percent | Tom Lynch, DEM | 21,536 | 22.9% |
| Melissa Ruth O'Donnell, DEM | 37,939 | 35% | Wesley Chesbro*, DEM | 60,414 | 64.1% |
| Bill Emmerson*, REP | 70,465 | 65% | Pamela Elizondo, GRN | 8,261 | 8.8% |
| State Senator District 25 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 3 | Votes | Percent |
| Ameenah Fuller, DEM | 6,592 | 5.4% | Charles Rouse, DEM | 28,926 | 32% |
| Carol Liu*, DEM | 62,930 | 51.3% | Bob Williams, REP | 22,857 | 25.1% |
| Gilbert V. Gonzales, REP | 53,093 | 43.3% | Dan Logue*, REP | 38,742 | 42.9% |
| State Senator District 27 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 4 | Votes | Percent |
| Fran Pavley*, DEM | 65,552 | 48.9% | Mariko Yamada*, DEM | 51,821 | 59.2% |
| Todd Zink, REP | 68,384 | 51.1% | John Munn, REP | 35,664 | 40.8% |

STATEMENT OF VOTE SUMMARY PAGES

| | | | | | |
|---|--------------|----------------|---|--------------|----------------|
| State Assemblymember District 5 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 12 | Votes | Percent |
| Marc Boyd, DEM | 13,583 | 13.3% | Christopher Mateo, DEM | 23,782 | 35% |
| Tim (Timothy) K. Fitzgerald, DEM | 18,138 | 17.7% | Kristin Olsen*, REP | 44,209 | 65% |
| Frank Bigelow, REP | 29,584 | 28.9% | | | |
| Kevin Lancaster, REP | 2,151 | 2.1% | State Assemblymember District 13 | Votes | Percent |
| Rico Oller, REP | 34,673 | 33.9% | C. Jennet Stebbins, DEM | 6,792 | 12.8% |
| Mark Belden, NPP | 4,158 | 4.1% | Susan Talamantes Eggman, DEM | 21,066 | 39.8% |
| | | | Xochitl Raya Paderes, DEM | 5,649 | 10.7% |
| State Assemblymember District 6 | Votes | Percent | Dolores M. Cooper, REP | 7,892 | 14.9% |
| Regy Bronner, DEM | 32,573 | 31.1% | K. "Jeffrey" Jafri, REP | 11,480 | 21.7% |
| Andy Pugno, REP | 33,382 | 31.9% | | | |
| Beth B. Gaines*, REP | 38,827 | 37.1% | State Assemblymember District 14 | Votes | Percent |
| | | | Susan A. Bonilla*, DEM | 54,832 | 100% |
| State Assemblymember District 7 | Votes | Percent | | | |
| Roger Dickinson*, DEM | 41,100 | 68% | State Assemblymember District 15 | Votes | Percent |
| Jonathan Zachariou, REP | 19,304 | 32% | Nancy Skinner*, DEM | 68,479 | 99.8% |
| | | | Eugene Ruyle (W/I), PF | 105 | 0.2% |
| State Assemblymember District 8 | Votes | Percent | | | |
| Ken Cooley, DEM | 33,304 | 42.8% | State Assemblymember District 16 | Votes | Percent |
| Barbara Ortega, REP | 15,592 | 20% | Joan Buchanan*, DEM | 54,368 | 56.7% |
| John Thomas Flynn, REP | 4,853 | 6.2% | Al Phillips, REP | 41,444 | 43.3% |
| Peter Tateishi, REP | 18,237 | 23.4% | | | |
| Phillip A. Tufi, REP | 2,424 | 3.1% | State Assemblymember District 17 | Votes | Percent |
| Janice Marlae Bonser, LIB | 3,368 | 4.3% | Tom Ammiano*, DEM | 63,454 | 84.2% |
| | | | Jason P. Clark, REP | 11,933 | 15.8% |
| State Assemblymember District 9 | Votes | Percent | | | |
| Richard Pan*, DEM | 24,617 | 38.1% | State Assemblymember District 18 | Votes | Percent |
| Tom Y. Santos, DEM | 8,200 | 12.7% | Abel Guillen, DEM | 18,521 | 29.7% |
| Antonio "Tony" Amador, REP | 13,060 | 20.2% | Joel B. Young, DEM | 11,680 | 18.8% |
| Edward J. Nemeth, REP | 6,823 | 10.5% | Rob Bonta, DEM | 23,007 | 36.9% |
| Sophia Gonzales Scherman, REP | 10,029 | 15.5% | Rhonda Weber, REP | 9,082 | 14.6% |
| C.T. Weber, PF | 1,950 | 3% | | | |
| | | | State Assemblymember District 19 | Votes | Percent |
| State Assemblymember District 10 | Votes | Percent | James Pan, DEM | 3,075 | 4.5% |
| Alex Easton-Brown, DEM | 6,563 | 6.2% | Michael Breyer, DEM | 14,991 | 22% |
| Connie Wong, DEM | 11,371 | 10.7% | Phil Ting, DEM | 38,432 | 56.4% |
| H. Christian Gunderson, DEM | 2,323 | 2.2% | Matthew Del Carlo, REP | 11,646 | 17.1% |
| Marc Levine, DEM | 25,920 | 24.4% | | | |
| Michael Allen*, DEM | 32,922 | 31% | State Assemblymember District 20 | Votes | Percent |
| Peter J. Mancus, REP | 22,708 | 21.4% | Bill Quirk, DEM | 17,177 | 30.3% |
| Joe Boswell, NPP | 4,544 | 4.3% | Jennifer Ong, DEM | 14,560 | 25.7% |
| | | | Sarabjit Kaur Cheema, DEM | 3,397 | 6% |
| State Assemblymember District 11 | Votes | Percent | Luis Reynoso, REP | 10,041 | 17.7% |
| Charles Kingeter, DEM | 1,159 | 1.7% | Mark Green, NPP | 11,490 | 20.3% |
| Gene Gantt, DEM | 3,827 | 5.7% | | | |
| Jim Frazier, DEM | 18,846 | 28.1% | State Assemblymember District 21 | Votes | Percent |
| Patricia Hernández, DEM | 11,844 | 17.7% | Adam Gray, DEM | 14,391 | 32.4% |
| Mike Hudson, REP | 21,234 | 31.7% | Lesa Rasmussen, DEM | 4,305 | 9.7% |
| Len Augustine, NPP | 10,048 | 15% | Robert R. Sellers, DEM | 1,452 | 3.3% |
| | | | Tommy Jones, DEM | 4,055 | 9.1% |
| | | | Jack Mobley, REP | 20,148 | 45.4% |

STATEMENT OF VOTE SUMMARY PAGES

| | | | | | |
|---|--------------|----------------|---|--------------|----------------|
| State Assemblymember District 22 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 32 | Votes | Percent |
| Kevin Mullin, DEM | 51,578 | 68.5% | Rudy Salas, DEM | 13,053 | 41.4% |
| Mark Gilham, REP | 23,738 | 31.5% | David Thomas, REP | 4,420 | 14% |
| | | | Jon McQuiston, REP | 6,530 | 20.7% |
| State Assemblymember District 23 | Votes | Percent | Pedro A. Rios, REP | 7,550 | 23.9% |
| Richard Rojas, DEM | 17,690 | 22.6% | | | |
| Bob Whalen, REP | 19,992 | 25.5% | State Assemblymember District 33 | Votes | Percent |
| David DeFrank, REP | 4,278 | 5.5% | John Coffey, DEM | 13,873 | 28.7% |
| Jim Patterson, REP | 30,827 | 39.4% | Tim Donnelly*, REP | 25,200 | 52.1% |
| Vong Mouanoutoua, REP | 5,487 | 7% | William E. "Bill" Jahn, REP | 9,331 | 19.3% |
| | | | | | |
| State Assemblymember District 24 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 34 | Votes | Percent |
| Geby E. Espinosa, DEM | 7,654 | 10.4% | Mari Goodman, DEM | 19,369 | 26.3% |
| Richard S. Gordon*, DEM | 42,018 | 57% | Shannon Grove*, REP | 54,345 | 73.7% |
| Chengzhi "George" Yang, REP | 20,949 | 28.4% | | | |
| Joseph Antonelli Rosas, NPP | 3,129 | 4.2% | State Assemblymember District 35 | Votes | Percent |
| | | | Gerald "Gerry" Manata, DEM | 33,862 | 35.1% |
| State Assemblymember District 25 | Votes | Percent | K.H. "Katcho" Achadjian*, REP | 62,747 | 64.9% |
| Bob Wieckowski*, DEM | 22,112 | 41.6% | | | |
| Pete "Primo" McHugh, DEM | 14,970 | 28.2% | State Assemblymember District 36 | Votes | Percent |
| ArLyne Diamond, REP | 16,077 | 30.2% | Steve Fox, DEM | 14,160 | 32.9% |
| | | | Ron Smith, REP | 15,097 | 35.1% |
| State Assemblymember District 26 | Votes | Percent | Tom Lackey, REP | 13,795 | 32% |
| Jonathon Louis Sosa, DEM | 12,627 | 26.9% | | | |
| Connie Conway*, REP | 34,299 | 73.1% | State Assemblymember District 37 | Votes | Percent |
| | | | Das Williams*, DEM | 52,400 | 56.3% |
| State Assemblymember District 27 | Votes | Percent | Rob Walter, REP | 40,617 | 43.7% |
| Nora Campos*, DEM | 34,217 | 70.6% | | | |
| Roger F. Lasson, REP | 14,238 | 29.4% | State Assemblymember District 38 | Votes | Percent |
| | | | Edward Headington, DEM | 19,608 | 31.1% |
| State Assemblymember District 28 | Votes | Percent | Patricia McKeon, REP | 14,025 | 22.2% |
| Paul Fong*, DEM | 43,965 | 54.3% | Paul B. Strickland, REP | 9,182 | 14.6% |
| Chad Walsh, NPP | 37,060 | 45.7% | Scott Thomas Wilk, REP | 20,230 | 32.1% |
| | | | | | |
| State Assemblymember District 29 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 39 | Votes | Percent |
| Bob Fultz, DEM | 13,194 | 13.6% | Raul Bocanegra, DEM | 11,521 | 36.2% |
| Mark Stone, DEM | 52,471 | 54% | Richard Alarcon, DEM | 8,567 | 26.9% |
| Tom Walsh, REP | 31,476 | 32.4% | Margie Margarita Carranza, REP | 1,697 | 5.3% |
| | | | Omar Cuevas, REP | 2,596 | 8.2% |
| State Assemblymember District 30 | Votes | Percent | Ricardo A. Benitez, REP | 5,037 | 15.8% |
| Luis Alejo*, DEM | 29,136 | 58.7% | John Paul (Jack) Lindblad, GRN | 2,421 | 7.6% |
| Rob Bernosky, REP | 20,462 | 41.3% | Kevin J. Suscavage (W/I), DEM | 2 | 0% |
| | | | | | |
| State Assemblymember District 31 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 40 | Votes | Percent |
| Henry T. Perea*, DEM | 22,255 | 98.7% | Russ Warner, DEM | 18,862 | 41.8% |
| James (JD) Bennett (W/I), REP | 299 | 1.3% | Mike Morrell*, REP | 26,261 | 58.2% |

STATEMENT OF VOTE SUMMARY PAGES

| | | | | | |
|---|--------------|----------------|---|--------------|----------------|
| State Assemblymember District 41 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 50 | Votes | Percent |
| Chris Holden, DEM | 20,718 | 29.4% | Betsy Butler*, DEM | 16,084 | 25.8% |
| Michael A. Cacciotti, DEM | 10,844 | 15.4% | Richard Bloom, DEM | 15,947 | 25.6% |
| Victoria Rusnak, DEM | 9,727 | 13.8% | Torie Osborn, DEM | 15,155 | 24.3% |
| Donna Lowe, REP | 16,808 | 23.8% | Bradly S. Torgan, REP | 15,227 | 24.4% |
| Ed Colton, REP | 12,399 | 17.6% | | | |
| | | | State Assemblymember District 51 | Votes | Percent |
| State Assemblymember District 42 | Votes | Percent | Arturo Chavez, DEM | 6,422 | 23% |
| Mark Anthony Orozco, DEM | 26,107 | 38.8% | Jimmy Gomez, DEM | 10,452 | 37.4% |
| Brian Nestande*, REP | 41,217 | 61.2% | Luis Lopez, DEM | 6,871 | 24.6% |
| | | | Oscar A. Gutierrez, DEM | 1,128 | 4% |
| State Assemblymember District 43 | Votes | Percent | Richard Friedberg, DEM | 3,059 | 11% |
| Mike Gatto*, DEM | 26,397 | 55.7% | | | |
| Greg Krikorian, REP | 21,025 | 44.3% | State Assemblymember District 52 | Votes | Percent |
| | | | Norma J. Torres*, DEM | 10,851 | 41.8% |
| State Assemblymember District 44 | Votes | Percent | Paul Vincent Avila, DEM | 3,417 | 13.2% |
| Eileen MacEnergy, DEM | 15,343 | 23.3% | Ray Moors, DEM | 1,969 | 7.6% |
| Thomas Mullens, DEM | 12,226 | 18.6% | Kenny Coble, REP | 9,729 | 37.5% |
| Jeff Gorell*, REP | 38,263 | 58.1% | | | |
| | | | State Assemblymember District 53 | Votes | Percent |
| State Assemblymember District 45 | Votes | Percent | John A. Pérez*, DEM | 10,051 | 58.5% |
| Bob Blumenfield*, DEM | 31,942 | 59.1% | Michael "Mike" Aldapa, DEM | 2,494 | 14.5% |
| Chris Kolski, REP | 22,119 | 40.9% | Roger A. Young, DEM | 1,738 | 10.1% |
| | | | Jose Trinidad Aguilar, REP | 2,889 | 16.8% |
| State Assemblymember District 46 | Votes | Percent | | | |
| Adriano Lecaros, DEM | 1,004 | 2.4% | State Assemblymember District 54 | Votes | Percent |
| Adrin Nazarian, DEM | 11,498 | 27.5% | Ed Nicoletti, DEM | 5,601 | 11.7% |
| Andrew B. Lachman, DEM | 8,085 | 19.3% | Holly J. Mitchell*, DEM | 33,920 | 70.9% |
| Brian C. Johnson, DEM | 8,370 | 20% | Keith Brandon Mc Cowen, REP | 8,350 | 17.4% |
| Laurette Healey, DEM | 4,502 | 10.8% | | | |
| Jay L. Stern, REP | 8,401 | 20.1% | State Assemblymember District 55 | Votes | Percent |
| | | | Gregg D. Fritchle, DEM | 17,994 | 30.9% |
| State Assemblymember District 47 | Votes | Percent | Curt Hagman*, REP | 40,268 | 69.1% |
| Cheryl R. Brown, DEM | 7,566 | 29% | | | |
| Joe Baca, Jr., DEM | 11,033 | 42.3% | State Assemblymember District 56 | Votes | Percent |
| Jeane Ensley, REP | 5,787 | 22.2% | V. Manuel Perez*, DEM | 23,661 | 57.1% |
| Thelma E. Beach, REP | 1,685 | 6.5% | Corky Reynaga-Emett, REP | 17,763 | 42.9% |
| | | | | | |
| State Assemblymember District 48 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 57 | Votes | Percent |
| Roger Hernandez*, DEM | 14,625 | 43.4% | Ian Charles Calderon, DEM | 11,100 | 28.5% |
| Joe M. Gardner, REP | 15,344 | 45.6% | Rudy Bermudez, DEM | 10,763 | 27.7% |
| Mike Meza, NPP | 3,698 | 11% | Noel A. Jaimas, REP | 17,025 | 43.8% |
| | | | | | |
| State Assemblymember District 49 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 58 | Votes | Percent |
| Edwin "Ed" Chau, DEM | 13,746 | 34.9% | Cristina Garcia, DEM | 8,517 | 26.6% |
| Mitchell Ing, DEM | 5,074 | 12.9% | Daniel Crespo, DEM | 2,096 | 6.5% |
| Matthew Lin, REP | 20,549 | 52.2% | Luis H. Marquez, DEM | 3,946 | 12.3% |
| | | | Sultan "Sam" Ahmad, DEM | 1,197 | 3.7% |
| | | | Tom Calderon, DEM | 7,290 | 22.7% |
| | | | Patricia A. Kotze-Ramos, REP | 9,015 | 28.1% |

STATEMENT OF VOTE SUMMARY PAGES

| | | | | | |
|---|--------------|----------------|---|--------------|----------------|
| State Assemblymember District 59 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 68 | Votes | Percent |
| Armenak H. Nouridjanian, DEM | 810 | 5% | Christina Avalos, DEM | 19,254 | 30.8% |
| Gertrude "Trudy" Holmes-Magee, DEM | 2,487 | 15.4% | Donald P. (Don) Wagner*, REP | 43,241 | 69.2% |
| Greg Akili, DEM | 2,772 | 17.2% | | | |
| Reggie Jones-Sawyer, DEM | 7,029 | 43.6% | State Assemblymember District 69 | Votes | Percent |
| Rodney D. Robinson, DEM | 3,038 | 18.8% | Francisco "Paco" Barragán, DEM | 605 | 2.2% |
| | | | Julio Perez, DEM | 5,738 | 20.6% |
| State Assemblymember District 60 | Votes | Percent | Michele Martinez, DEM | 4,651 | 16.7% |
| José Luis Pérez, DEM | 11,411 | 32.4% | Tom Daly, DEM | 10,939 | 39.2% |
| Eric Linder, REP | 10,000 | 28.4% | Jose "Joe" Moreno, REP | 5,980 | 21.4% |
| Greg Kraft, REP | 6,749 | 19.2% | | | |
| Stan Skipworth, REP | 7,058 | 20% | State Assemblymember District 70 | Votes | Percent |
| | | | Bonnie Lowenthal*, DEM | 29,082 | 58.6% |
| State Assemblymember District 61 | Votes | Percent | Martha Flores Gibson, REP | 20,569 | 41.4% |
| Jose Medina, DEM | 17,473 | 44.6% | | | |
| Bill Batey, REP | 13,713 | 35% | State Assemblymember District 71 | Votes | Percent |
| Joe Ludwig, REP | 6,254 | 15.9% | Patrick J. Hurley, DEM | 23,510 | 30.2% |
| Fredy R. De Leon, NPP | 1,757 | 4.5% | Brian W. Jones*, REP | 36,424 | 46.7% |
| Thomas Carlos Ketcham (W/I), REP | 16 | 0% | John McLaughlin, REP | 17,987 | 23.1% |
| | | | | | |
| State Assemblymember District 62 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 72 | Votes | Percent |
| Mervin Evans, DEM | 8,338 | 24.7% | Albert Ayala, DEM | 8,816 | 13.7% |
| Steven Bradford*, DEM | 25,446 | 75.3% | Joe Dovich, DEM | 12,432 | 19.3% |
| | | | Long Pham, REP | 12,409 | 19.2% |
| State Assemblymember District 63 | Votes | Percent | Travis Allen, REP | 12,851 | 19.9% |
| Anthony Rendon, DEM | 8,776 | 38% | Troy Edgar, REP | 18,060 | 28% |
| Cathrin "Cat" Sargent, DEM | 1,460 | 6.3% | | | |
| Diane Janet Martinez, DEM | 5,833 | 25.3% | State Assemblymember District 73 | Votes | Percent |
| Jack M. Guerrero, REP | 7,017 | 30.4% | James Corbett, DEM | 21,173 | 29.8% |
| | | | Diane L. Harkey*, REP | 49,922 | 70.2% |
| State Assemblymember District 64 | Votes | Percent | | | |
| Isadore Hall, III*, DEM | 23,122 | 100% | State Assemblymember District 74 | Votes | Percent |
| | | | Robert Rush, DEM | 25,120 | 32.8% |
| State Assemblymember District 65 | Votes | Percent | Allan R. Mansoor*, REP | 33,319 | 43.5% |
| Sharon Quirk-Silva, DEM | 20,936 | 41.2% | Leslie Daigle, REP | 18,207 | 23.8% |
| Chris Norby*, REP | 29,917 | 58.8% | | | |
| | | | State Assemblymember District 75 | Votes | Percent |
| State Assemblymember District 66 | Votes | Percent | Matthew Herold, DEM | 20,180 | 31.4% |
| Al Muratsuchi, DEM | 27,360 | 40.5% | Marie Waldron, REP | 44,013 | 68.6% |
| Craig Huey, REP | 26,298 | 38.9% | | | |
| Nathan Mintz, REP | 13,914 | 20.6% | State Assemblymember District 76 | Votes | Percent |
| | | | Farrah Douglas, REP | 18,570 | 28.7% |
| State Assemblymember District 67 | Votes | Percent | Rocky J. Chavez, REP | 25,143 | 38.8% |
| Bob Magee, REP | 8,672 | 20.1% | Sherry Hodges, REP | 21,100 | 32.6% |
| Kenneth C. Dickson, REP | 8,216 | 19% | | | |
| Melissa Melendez, REP | 10,084 | 23.3% | State Assemblymember District 77 | Votes | Percent |
| Phil Paule, REP | 11,951 | 27.7% | Ruben "RJ" Hernandez, DEM | 23,075 | 24.2% |
| William T. Akana, REP | 4,291 | 9.9% | Brian Maienschein, REP | 45,071 | 47.3% |
| | | | Dustin Steiner, REP | 14,406 | 15.1% |
| | | | Greg Laskaris, NPP | 12,639 | 13.3% |

* Incumbent

STATEMENT OF VOTE SUMMARY PAGES

| State Assemblymember District 78 | Votes | Percent |
|---|--------------|----------------|
| Toni Atkins*, DEM | 54,175 | 59.8% |
| Ralph Denney, REP | 25,291 | 27.9% |
| Robert E. Williams, REP | 11,121 | 12.3% |

| State Assemblymember District 79 | Votes | Percent |
|---|--------------|----------------|
| Patricia Ann Washington, DEM | 5,401 | 8.1% |
| Rudy Ramirez, DEM | 7,533 | 11.3% |
| Shirley Weber, DEM | 20,293 | 30.5% |
| Sid Vooarakkara, DEM | 5,060 | 7.6% |
| Mary England, REP | 19,313 | 29.1% |
| Matt Mendoza, REP | 8,857 | 13.3% |

| State Assemblymember District 80 | Votes | Percent |
|---|--------------|----------------|
| Ben Hueso*, DEM | 26,717 | 61.6% |
| Derrick W. Roach, REP | 16,623 | 38.4% |

| Proposition 28 | Votes | Percent |
|---|--------------|----------------|
| Limits on Legislators' Terms in Office | | |
| Yes | 3,081,174 | 61% |
| No | 1,967,888 | 39% |

| Proposition 29 | Votes | Percent |
|--|--------------|----------------|
| Tax on Cigarettes for Cancer Research | | |
| Yes | 2,568,715 | 49.8% |
| No | 2,592,791 | 50.2% |

EXHIBIT E

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|----|
| <u>ABOUT THIS STATEMENT OF VOTE</u> | 1 |
| <u>REGISTRATION AND PARTICIPATION</u> | |
| Voter Registration Statistics by County | 2 |
| Voter Participation Statistics by County | 3 |
| Historical Voter Registration and Participation in Statewide General Elections 1910 - 2012 | 4 |
| <u>SPECIAL ELECTION RESULTS</u> | |
| State Senator, 4 th District, Special Primary Election | 6 |
| <u>VOTE SUMMARIES</u> | |
| Statement of Vote Summary Pages | 7 |
| <u>VOTING SYSTEMS USED BY COUNTIES</u> | 14 |
| <u>THE STATEMENT OF VOTE</u> | |
| Explanatory Note | 15 |
| Certificate of the Secretary of State | 16 |
| President by County | 17 |
| United States Senator by County | 23 |
| United States Representative by District | 26 |
| State Senator by District (odd-numbered districts only) | 39 |
| State Assemblymember by District | 44 |
| State Ballot Measures (Propositions 30 - 40) by County | 64 |

VOTER PARTICIPATION STATISTICS BY COUNTY

| County | Number of Precincts | Eligible to Register | Registered Voters | Precinct Voters | Vote-By-Mail Voters | Total Voters | Percent of Vote-By-Mail Voters | Turnout Registered | Turnout Eligible |
|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Alameda | 1,100 | 1,004,357 | 810,836 | 269,030 | 333,449 | 602,479 | 55.35% | 74.30% | 59.99% |
| Alpine | 5 | 869 | 773 | 0 | 656 | 656 | 100.00% | 84.86% | 75.49% |
| Amador | 59 | 27,395 | 21,503 | 6,943 | 10,943 | 17,886 | 61.18% | 83.18% | 65.29% |
| Butte | 155 | 166,679 | 122,554 | 34,944 | 56,778 | 91,722 | 61.90% | 74.84% | 55.03% |
| Calaveras | 36 | 35,672 | 29,014 | 7,274 | 14,803 | 22,077 | 67.05% | 76.09% | 61.89% |
| Colusa | 18 | 12,364 | 7,765 | 2,692 | 3,400 | 6,092 | 55.81% | 78.45% | 49.27% |
| Contra Costa | 834 | 703,217 | 556,351 | 196,190 | 245,953 | 442,143 | 55.63% | 79.47% | 62.87% |
| Del Norte | 18 | 18,250 | 12,516 | 3,620 | 5,259 | 8,879 | 59.23% | 70.94% | 48.65% |
| El Dorado | 158 | 134,289 | 110,634 | 25,607 | 63,994 | 89,601 | 71.42% | 80.99% | 66.72% |
| Fresno | 611 | 559,268 | 410,188 | 119,543 | 142,109 | 261,652 | 54.31% | 63.79% | 46.78% |
| Glenn | 34 | 18,059 | 12,542 | 2,417 | 6,917 | 9,334 | 74.11% | 74.42% | 51.69% |
| Humboldt | 129 | 104,544 | 80,038 | 27,915 | 30,177 | 58,092 | 51.95% | 72.58% | 55.57% |
| Imperial | 159 | 92,947 | 61,086 | 19,785 | 19,750 | 39,535 | 49.96% | 64.72% | 42.53% |
| Inyo | 24 | 13,583 | 9,956 | 2,967 | 5,179 | 8,146 | 63.58% | 81.82% | 59.97% |
| Kern | 583 | 485,910 | 332,864 | 97,670 | 126,328 | 223,998 | 56.40% | 67.29% | 46.10% |
| Kings | 182 | 77,937 | 47,655 | 7,747 | 24,294 | 32,041 | 75.82% | 67.24% | 41.11% |
| Lake | 70 | 47,135 | 34,936 | 8,987 | 14,698 | 23,685 | 62.06% | 67.80% | 50.25% |
| Lassen | 55 | 18,671 | 14,130 | 2,707 | 8,103 | 10,810 | 74.96% | 76.50% | 57.90% |
| Los Angeles | 4,993 | 5,976,156 | 4,758,437 | 2,260,876 | 975,828 | 3,236,704 | 30.15% | 68.02% | 54.16% |
| Madera | 96 | 86,894 | 53,779 | 15,658 | 24,667 | 40,325 | 61.17% | 74.98% | 46.41% |
| Marin | 194 | 176,604 | 155,025 | 47,329 | 88,109 | 135,438 | 65.05% | 87.37% | 76.69% |
| Mariposa | 25 | 14,443 | 10,792 | 3,816 | 5,286 | 9,102 | 58.08% | 84.34% | 63.02% |
| Mendocino | 247 | 62,910 | 49,765 | 7,046 | 29,034 | 36,080 | 80.47% | 72.50% | 57.35% |
| Merced | 126 | 147,138 | 98,890 | 29,727 | 33,077 | 62,804 | 52.67% | 63.51% | 42.68% |
| Modoc | 21 | 7,301 | 5,359 | 1,225 | 2,836 | 4,061 | 69.84% | 75.78% | 55.62% |
| Mono | 13 | 9,917 | 5,983 | 2,096 | 3,127 | 5,223 | 59.87% | 87.30% | 52.67% |
| Monterey | 189 | 232,233 | 166,290 | 37,078 | 87,824 | 124,902 | 70.31% | 75.11% | 53.78% |
| Napa | 167 | 91,138 | 72,592 | 6,251 | 51,421 | 57,672 | 89.16% | 79.45% | 63.28% |
| Nevada | 140 | 76,187 | 62,853 | 13,798 | 38,375 | 52,173 | 73.55% | 83.01% | 68.48% |
| Orange | 1,977 | 1,925,205 | 1,683,001 | 552,018 | 581,186 | 1,133,204 | 51.29% | 67.33% | 58.86% |
| Placer | 350 | 251,135 | 208,604 | 57,171 | 115,586 | 172,757 | 66.91% | 82.82% | 68.79% |
| Plumas | 29 | 15,798 | 13,229 | 2,937 | 7,209 | 10,146 | 71.05% | 76.70% | 64.22% |
| Riverside | 1,218 | 1,358,695 | 943,405 | 298,112 | 371,515 | 669,627 | 55.48% | 70.98% | 49.28% |
| Sacramento | 1,106 | 944,243 | 698,899 | 216,021 | 306,024 | 522,045 | 58.62% | 74.70% | 55.29% |
| San Benito | 44 | 33,536 | 26,580 | 6,795 | 12,454 | 19,249 | 64.70% | 72.42% | 57.40% |
| San Bernardino | 1,609 | 1,259,676 | 851,581 | 306,324 | 283,287 | 589,611 | 48.05% | 69.24% | 46.81% |
| San Diego | 2,064 | 2,094,093 | 1,563,093 | 528,258 | 675,007 | 1,203,265 | 56.10% | 76.98% | 57.46% |
| San Francisco | 597 | 603,201 | 500,107 | 171,679 | 193,196 | 364,875 | 52.95% | 72.96% | 60.49% |
| San Joaquin | 430 | 419,824 | 293,004 | 73,907 | 132,936 | 206,843 | 64.27% | 70.59% | 49.27% |
| San Luis Obispo | 147 | 201,390 | 158,603 | 46,578 | 80,240 | 126,818 | 63.27% | 79.96% | 62.97% |
| San Mateo | 468 | 479,562 | 361,486 | 119,212 | 169,380 | 288,592 | 58.69% | 79.83% | 60.18% |
| Santa Barbara | 257 | 276,452 | 203,994 | 67,792 | 97,185 | 164,977 | 58.91% | 80.87% | 59.68% |
| Santa Clara | 1,000 | 1,122,390 | 817,837 | 195,354 | 457,885 | 653,239 | 70.09% | 79.87% | 58.20% |
| Santa Cruz | 253 | 183,151 | 158,524 | 56,951 | 64,372 | 121,323 | 53.06% | 76.53% | 66.24% |
| Shasta | 142 | 133,808 | 100,235 | 28,619 | 48,559 | 77,178 | 62.92% | 77.00% | 57.68% |
| Sierra | 22 | 2,548 | 2,326 | 0 | 1,822 | 1,822 | 100.00% | 78.33% | 71.51% |
| Siskiyou | 60 | 34,444 | 25,868 | 6,475 | 13,705 | 20,180 | 67.91% | 78.01% | 58.59% |
| Solano | 199 | 274,284 | 209,484 | 65,841 | 88,082 | 153,923 | 57.22% | 73.48% | 56.12% |
| Sonoma | 433 | 339,309 | 260,315 | 63,267 | 155,553 | 218,820 | 71.09% | 84.06% | 64.49% |
| Stanislaus | 388 | 322,964 | 232,909 | 49,833 | 107,102 | 156,935 | 68.25% | 67.38% | 48.59% |
| Sutter | 57 | 59,275 | 42,194 | 10,012 | 21,288 | 31,300 | 68.01% | 74.18% | 52.80% |
| Tehama | 46 | 43,306 | 31,174 | 8,032 | 15,229 | 23,261 | 65.47% | 74.62% | 53.71% |
| Trinity | 25 | 11,343 | 8,046 | 1,759 | 4,055 | 5,814 | 69.75% | 72.26% | 51.26% |
| Tulare | 263 | 252,258 | 145,348 | 40,904 | 61,280 | 102,184 | 59.97% | 70.30% | 40.51% |
| Tuolumne | 73 | 39,259 | 31,769 | 5,938 | 19,215 | 25,153 | 76.39% | 79.17% | 64.07% |
| Ventura | 630 | 534,607 | 426,948 | 165,488 | 164,931 | 330,419 | 49.92% | 77.39% | 61.81% |
| Yolo | 117 | 137,680 | 102,186 | 34,002 | 41,311 | 75,313 | 54.85% | 73.70% | 54.70% |
| Yuba | 46 | 47,074 | 30,115 | 8,253 | 11,720 | 19,973 | 58.68% | 66.32% | 42.43% |
| State Total | 24,491 | 23,802,577 | 18,245,970 | 6,448,470 | 6,753,688 | 13,202,158 | 51.16% | 72.36% | 55.47% |
| Percent | | | 76.66% | 48.84% | 51.16% | | 51.16% | 72.36% | 55.47% |

STATEMENT OF VOTE SUMMARY PAGES

| President | Votes | Percent | United States Representative District 9 | Votes | Percent |
|---|-----------|---------|--|---------|---------|
| Barack Obama*, DEM | 7,854,285 | 60.2% | Jerry McNERNEY*, DEM | 118,373 | 55.6% |
| Mitt Romney, REP | 4,839,958 | 37.1% | Ricky Gill, REP | 94,704 | 44.4% |
| Thomas Hoefling, AI | 38,372 | 0.3% | | | |
| Jill Stein, GRN | 85,638 | 0.7% | United States Representative District 10 | Votes | Percent |
| Gary Johnson, LIB | 143,221 | 1.1% | Jose Hernandez, DEM | 98,934 | 47.3% |
| Roseanne Barr, PF | 53,824 | 0.4% | Jeff Denham*, REP | 110,265 | 52.7% |
| James Harris (W/I), NPP | 72 | 0% | | | |
| Jerry White (W/I), NPP | 79 | 0% | United States Representative District 11 | Votes | Percent |
| Ron Paul (W/I), NPP | 21,461 | 0.2% | George Miller*, DEM | 200,743 | 69.7% |
| Ross C. "Rocky" Anderson (W/I), NPP | 992 | 0% | Virginia Fuller, REP | 87,136 | 30.3% |
| Shelia "Samm" Tittle (W/I), NPP | 6 | 0% | | | |
| Stephen Durham (W/I), NPP | 54 | 0% | United States Representative District 12 | Votes | Percent |
| Stewart Alexander (W/I), NPP | 82 | 0% | Nancy Pelosi*, DEM | 253,709 | 85.1% |
| Virgil Goode (W/I), NPP | 503 | 0% | John Dennis, REP | 44,478 | 14.9% |
| | | | | | |
| United States Senator | Votes | Percent | United States Representative District 13 | Votes | Percent |
| Dianne Feinstein*, DEM | 7,864,624 | 62.5% | Barbara Lee*, DEM | 250,436 | 86.8% |
| Elizabeth Emken, REP | 4,713,887 | 37.5% | Marilyn M. Singleton, NPP | 38,146 | 13.2% |
| | | | | | |
| United States Representative District 1 | Votes | Percent | United States Representative District 14 | Votes | Percent |
| Jim Reed, DEM | 125,386 | 42.6% | Jackie Speier*, DEM | 203,828 | 78.9% |
| Doug La Malfa, REP | 168,827 | 57.4% | Deborah (Debbie) Bacigalupi, REP | 54,455 | 21.1% |
| | | | | | |
| United States Representative District 2 | Votes | Percent | United States Representative District 15 | Votes | Percent |
| Jared Huffman, DEM | 226,216 | 71.2% | Eric Swalwell, DEM | 120,388 | 52.1% |
| Daniel W. Roberts, REP | 91,310 | 28.8% | Fortney Pete Stark*, DEM | 110,646 | 47.9% |
| | | | | | |
| United States Representative District 3 | Votes | Percent | United States Representative District 16 | Votes | Percent |
| John Garamendi*, DEM | 126,882 | 54.2% | Jim Costa*, DEM | 84,649 | 57.4% |
| Kim Vann, REP | 107,086 | 45.8% | Brian Daniel Whelan, REP | 62,801 | 42.6% |
| | | | | | |
| United States Representative District 4 | Votes | Percent | United States Representative District 17 | Votes | Percent |
| Jack Uppal, DEM | 125,885 | 38.9% | Mike Honda*, DEM | 159,392 | 73.5% |
| Tom McClintock*, REP | 197,803 | 61.1% | Evelyn Li, REP | 57,336 | 26.5% |
| | | | | | |
| United States Representative District 5 | Votes | Percent | United States Representative District 18 | Votes | Percent |
| Mike Thompson*, DEM | 202,872 | 74.5% | Anna G. Eshoo*, DEM | 212,831 | 70.5% |
| Randy Loflin, REP | 69,545 | 25.5% | Dave Chapman, REP | 89,103 | 29.5% |
| | | | | | |
| United States Representative District 6 | Votes | Percent | United States Representative District 19 | Votes | Percent |
| Doris Matsui*, DEM | 160,667 | 75.1% | Zoe Lofgren*, DEM | 162,300 | 73.2% |
| Joseph Mc Cray, Sr., REP | 53,406 | 24.9% | Robert Murray, REP | 59,313 | 26.8% |
| | | | | | |
| United States Representative District 7 | Votes | Percent | United States Representative District 20 | Votes | Percent |
| Ami Bera, DEM | 141,241 | 51.7% | Sam Farr*, DEM | 172,996 | 74.1% |
| Dan Lungren*, REP | 132,050 | 48.3% | Jeff Taylor, REP | 60,566 | 25.9% |
| | | | | | |
| United States Representative District 8 | Votes | Percent | United States Representative District 21 | Votes | Percent |
| Gregg Imus, REP | 76,551 | 42.6% | John Hernandez, DEM | 49,119 | 42.2% |
| Paul Cook, REP | 103,093 | 57.4% | David G. Valadao, REP | 67,164 | 57.8% |

STATEMENT OF VOTE SUMMARY PAGES

| | | | | | |
|---|--------------|----------------|---|--------------|----------------|
| United States Representative District 22 | Votes | Percent | United States Representative District 35 | Votes | Percent |
| Otto Lee, DEM | 81,555 | 38.1% | Gloria Negrete McLeod, DEM | 79,698 | 55.9% |
| Devin G. Nunes*, REP | 132,386 | 61.9% | Joe Baca*, DEM | 62,982 | 44.1% |
| United States Representative District 23 | Votes | Percent | United States Representative District 36 | Votes | Percent |
| Kevin Mc Carthy*, REP | 158,161 | 73.2% | Raul Ruiz, DEM | 110,189 | 52.9% |
| Terry Phillips, NPP | 57,842 | 26.8% | Mary Bono Mack*, REP | 97,953 | 47.1% |
| United States Representative District 24 | Votes | Percent | United States Representative District 37 | Votes | Percent |
| Lois Capps*, DEM | 156,749 | 55.1% | Karen R. Bass*, DEM | 207,039 | 86.4% |
| Abel Maldonado, REP | 127,746 | 44.9% | Morgan Osborne, REP | 32,541 | 13.6% |
| United States Representative District 25 | Votes | Percent | United States Representative District 38 | Votes | Percent |
| Lee C. Rogers, DEM | 106,982 | 45.2% | Linda T. Sánchez*, DEM | 145,280 | 67.5% |
| Howard P. "Buck" McKeon*, REP | 129,593 | 54.8% | Benjamin Campos, REP | 69,807 | 32.5% |
| United States Representative District 26 | Votes | Percent | United States Representative District 39 | Votes | Percent |
| Julia Brownley, DEM | 139,072 | 52.7% | Jay Chen, DEM | 106,360 | 42.2% |
| Tony Strickland, REP | 124,863 | 47.3% | Ed Royce*, REP | 145,607 | 57.8% |
| United States Representative District 27 | Votes | Percent | United States Representative District 40 | Votes | Percent |
| Judy Chu*, DEM | 154,191 | 64% | David Sanchez, DEM | 51,613 | 41.1% |
| Jack Orswell, REP | 86,817 | 36% | Lucille Roybal-Allard*, DEM | 73,940 | 58.9% |
| United States Representative District 28 | Votes | Percent | United States Representative District 41 | Votes | Percent |
| Adam Schiff*, DEM | 188,703 | 76.5% | Mark Takano, DEM | 103,578 | 59% |
| Phil Jennerjahn, REP | 58,008 | 23.5% | John Tavaglione, REP | 72,074 | 41% |
| United States Representative District 29 | Votes | Percent | United States Representative District 42 | Votes | Percent |
| Tony Cardenas, DEM | 111,287 | 74.1% | Michael Williamson, DEM | 84,702 | 39.4% |
| David R. Hernandez, NPP | 38,994 | 25.9% | Ken Calvert*, REP | 130,245 | 60.6% |
| United States Representative District 30 | Votes | Percent | United States Representative District 43 | Votes | Percent |
| Brad Sherman*, DEM | 149,456 | 60.3% | Bob Flores, DEM | 57,771 | 28.8% |
| Howard L. Berman, DEM | 98,395 | 39.7% | Maxine Waters*, DEM | 143,123 | 71.2% |
| United States Representative District 31 | Votes | Percent | United States Representative District 44 | Votes | Percent |
| Bob Dutton, REP | 72,255 | 44.8% | Janice Hahn, DEM | 99,909 | 60.2% |
| Gary G. Miller*, REP | 88,964 | 55.2% | Laura Richardson*, DEM | 65,989 | 39.8% |
| United States Representative District 32 | Votes | Percent | United States Representative District 45 | Votes | Percent |
| Grace F. Napolitano*, DEM | 124,903 | 65.7% | Sukhee Kang, DEM | 121,814 | 41.5% |
| David L. Miller, REP | 65,208 | 34.3% | John Campbell*, REP | 171,417 | 58.5% |
| United States Representative District 33 | Votes | Percent | United States Representative District 46 | Votes | Percent |
| Henry A. Waxman*, DEM | 171,860 | 54% | Loretta Sanchez*, DEM | 95,694 | 63.9% |
| Bill Bloomfield, NPP | 146,660 | 46% | Jerry Hayden, REP | 54,121 | 36.1% |
| United States Representative District 34 | Votes | Percent | United States Representative District 47 | Votes | Percent |
| Xavier Becerra*, DEM | 120,367 | 85.6% | Alan Lowenthal, DEM | 130,093 | 56.6% |
| Stephen C. Smith, REP | 20,223 | 14.4% | Gary DeLong, REP | 99,919 | 43.4% |

STATEMENT OF VOTE SUMMARY PAGES

| | | | | | |
|---|--------------|----------------|----------------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| United States Representative District 48 | Votes | Percent | State Senator District 15 | Votes | Percent |
| Ron Varasteh, DEM | 113,358 | 39% | Jim Beall, DEM | 160,451 | 56.7% |
| Dana Rohrabacher*, REP | 177,144 | 61% | Joe Coto, DEM | 122,345 | 43.3% |
| United States Representative District 49 | Votes | Percent | State Senator District 17 | Votes | Percent |
| Jerry Tetelman, DEM | 114,893 | 41.8% | Bill Monning, DEM | 236,213 | 63.3% |
| Darrell Issa*, REP | 159,725 | 58.2% | Larry Beaman, REP | 136,836 | 36.7% |
| United States Representative District 50 | Votes | Percent | State Senator District 19 | Votes | Percent |
| David B. Secor, DEM | 83,455 | 32.3% | Hannah-Beth Jackson, DEM | 180,780 | 55.7% |
| Duncan D. Hunter*, REP | 174,838 | 67.7% | Mike Stoker, REP | 143,819 | 44.3% |
| United States Representative District 51 | Votes | Percent | State Senator District 21 | Votes | Percent |
| Juan Vargas, DEM | 113,934 | 71.5% | Star Moffatt, DEM | 112,780 | 42.4% |
| Michael Crimmins, REP | 45,464 | 28.5% | Steve Knight, REP | 153,412 | 57.6% |
| United States Representative District 52 | Votes | Percent | State Senator District 23 | Votes | Percent |
| Scott Peters, DEM | 151,451 | 51.2% | Melissa Ruth O'Donnell, DEM | 123,518 | 43.7% |
| Brian Bilbray*, REP | 144,459 | 48.8% | Bill Emmerson*, REP | 159,045 | 56.3% |
| United States Representative District 53 | Votes | Percent | State Senator District 25 | Votes | Percent |
| Susan A. Davis*, DEM | 164,825 | 61.4% | Carol Liu*, DEM | 213,127 | 60.8% |
| Nick Popaditch, REP | 103,482 | 38.6% | Gilbert V. Gonzales, REP | 137,651 | 39.2% |
| State Senator District 1 | Votes | Percent | State Senator District 27 | Votes | Percent |
| Julie Griffith-Flatter, DEM | 150,111 | 36.3% | Fran Pavley*, DEM | 197,757 | 53.6% |
| Ted Gaines*, REP | 263,256 | 63.7% | Todd Zink, REP | 171,438 | 46.4% |
| State Senator District 3 | Votes | Percent | State Senator District 29 | Votes | Percent |
| Lois Wolk*, DEM | 233,406 | 66.2% | Greg Diamond, DEM | 131,228 | 44.9% |
| Frank Miranda, REP | 119,033 | 33.8% | Robert "Bob" Huff*, REP | 160,912 | 55.1% |
| State Senator District 5 | Votes | Percent | State Senator District 31 | Votes | Percent |
| Cathleen Galgiani, DEM | 142,425 | 50.5% | Richard Roth, DEM | 133,882 | 55.3% |
| Bill Berryhill, REP | 139,502 | 49.5% | Jeff Miller, REP | 108,320 | 44.7% |
| State Senator District 7 | Votes | Percent | State Senator District 33 | Votes | Percent |
| Mark DeSaulnier*, DEM | 229,105 | 61.5% | Ricardo Lara, DEM | 158,707 | 80.4% |
| Mark P. Meuser, REP | 143,707 | 38.5% | Lee H. Chauser, PF | 38,671 | 19.6% |
| State Senator District 9 | Votes | Percent | State Senator District 35 | Votes | Percent |
| Loni Hancock*, DEM | 300,994 | 85.8% | Roderick "Rod" Wright*, DEM | 192,483 | 76.5% |
| Mary Catherine McIlroy, PF | 49,987 | 14.2% | Charlotte A. Svolos, REP | 59,077 | 23.5% |
| State Senator District 11 | Votes | Percent | State Senator District 37 | Votes | Percent |
| Mark Leno*, DEM | 303,241 | 84.7% | Steve Young, DEM | 160,595 | 43% |
| Harmeet K. Dhillon, REP | 54,887 | 15.3% | Mimi Walters*, REP | 213,086 | 57% |
| State Senator District 13 | Votes | Percent | State Senator District 39 | Votes | Percent |
| Jerry Hill, DEM | 218,775 | 66.1% | Marty Block, DEM | 221,012 | 58.4% |
| Sally J. Lieber, DEM | 112,321 | 33.9% | George Plescia, REP | 157,305 | 41.6% |

* Incumbent

STATEMENT OF VOTE SUMMARY PAGES

| | | | | | |
|---|--------------|----------------|---|--------------|----------------|
| State Assemblymember District 1 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 14 | Votes | Percent |
| Brian Dahle, REP | 116,098 | 65.6% | Susan A. Bonilla*, DEM | 135,834 | 100% |
| Rick Bosetti, REP | 60,920 | 34.4% | | | |
| State Assemblymember District 2 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 15 | Votes | Percent |
| Tom Lynch, DEM | 65,302 | 36.9% | Nancy Skinner*, DEM | 164,929 | 86.8% |
| Wesley Chesbro*, DEM | 111,451 | 63.1% | Eugene Ruyle, PF | 25,167 | 13.2% |
| State Assemblymember District 3 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 16 | Votes | Percent |
| Charles Rouse, DEM | 69,265 | 44.4% | Joan Buchanan*, DEM | 125,952 | 59.2% |
| Dan Logue*, REP | 86,692 | 55.6% | Al Phillips, REP | 86,803 | 40.8% |
| State Assemblymember District 4 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 17 | Votes | Percent |
| Mariko Yamada*, DEM | 108,081 | 62.5% | Tom Ammiano*, DEM | 161,124 | 86.2% |
| John Munn, REP | 64,946 | 37.5% | Jason P. Clark, REP | 25,728 | 13.8% |
| State Assemblymember District 5 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 18 | Votes | Percent |
| Frank Bigelow, REP | 82,293 | 52.3% | Abel Guillen, DEM | 74,422 | 49.5% |
| Rico Oiler, REP | 75,071 | 47.7% | Rob Bonta, DEM | 75,865 | 50.5% |
| State Assemblymember District 6 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 19 | Votes | Percent |
| Andy Pugno, REP | 57,084 | 30.8% | Michael Breyer, DEM | 66,200 | 41.6% |
| Beth B. Gaines*, REP | 128,465 | 69.2% | Phil Ting, DEM | 92,858 | 58.4% |
| State Assemblymember District 7 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 20 | Votes | Percent |
| Roger Dickinson*, DEM | 96,422 | 69.8% | Bill Quirk, DEM | 67,028 | 50.3% |
| Jonathan Zachariou, REP | 41,735 | 30.2% | Jennifer Ong, DEM | 66,111 | 49.7% |
| State Assemblymember District 8 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 21 | Votes | Percent |
| Ken Cooley, DEM | 92,630 | 54.3% | Adam Gray, DEM | 63,349 | 58.2% |
| Peter Tateishi, REP | 78,006 | 45.7% | Jack Mobley, REP | 45,534 | 41.8% |
| State Assemblymember District 9 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 22 | Votes | Percent |
| Richard Pan*, DEM | 86,092 | 58.9% | Kevin Mullin, DEM | 126,519 | 71.4% |
| Antonio "Tony" Amador, REP | 60,136 | 41.1% | Mark Gilham, REP | 50,684 | 28.6% |
| State Assemblymember District 10 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 23 | Votes | Percent |
| Marc Levine, DEM | 96,421 | 51.2% | Bob Whalen, REP | 69,457 | 45.3% |
| Michael Allen*, DEM | 91,973 | 48.8% | Jim Patterson, REP | 83,817 | 54.7% |
| State Assemblymember District 11 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 24 | Votes | Percent |
| Jim Frazier, DEM | 96,893 | 62% | Richard S. Gordon*, DEM | 118,120 | 70.4% |
| Mike Hudson, REP | 59,420 | 38% | Chengzhi "George" Yang, REP | 49,700 | 29.6% |
| State Assemblymember District 12 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 25 | Votes | Percent |
| Christopher Mateo, DEM | 58,517 | 39.4% | Bob Wieckowski*, DEM | 93,487 | 70.5% |
| Kristin Olsen*, REP | 89,821 | 60.6% | ArLyne Diamond, REP | 39,159 | 29.5% |
| State Assemblymember District 13 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 26 | Votes | Percent |
| Susan Talamantes Eggman, DEM | 78,776 | 65.4% | Jonathon Louis Sosa, DEM | 36,379 | 33.5% |
| K. "Jeffrey" Jafri, REP | 41,595 | 34.6% | Connie Conway*, REP | 72,061 | 66.5% |

STATEMENT OF VOTE SUMMARY PAGES

| | | | | | |
|---|--------------|----------------|---|--------------|----------------|
| State Assemblymember District 27 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 40 | Votes | Percent |
| Nora Campos*, DEM | 91,816 | 77.6% | Russ Warner, DEM | 64,264 | 49.6% |
| Roger F. Lasson, REP | 26,461 | 22.4% | Mike Morrell*, REP | 65,282 | 50.4% |
| State Assemblymember District 28 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 41 | Votes | Percent |
| Paul Fong*, DEM | 108,061 | 62% | Chris Holder, DEM | 109,743 | 57.7% |
| Chad Walsh, NPP | 66,239 | 38% | Donna Lowe, REP | 80,362 | 42.3% |
| State Assemblymember District 29 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 42 | Votes | Percent |
| Mark Stone, DEM | 137,652 | 68.9% | Mark Anthony Orozco, DEM | 67,823 | 45.3% |
| Tom Walsh, REP | 62,057 | 31.1% | Brian Nestande*, REP | 81,768 | 54.7% |
| State Assemblymember District 30 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 43 | Votes | Percent |
| Luis Alejo*, DEM | 79,141 | 65.4% | Mike Gatto*, DEM | 95,673 | 60.2% |
| Rob Bernosky, REP | 41,932 | 34.6% | Greg Krikorian, REP | 63,251 | 39.8% |
| State Assemblymember District 31 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 44 | Votes | Percent |
| Henry T. Perea*, DEM | 55,626 | 64% | Eileen MacEnery, DEM | 76,805 | 47.1% |
| James (JD) Bennett, REP | 31,282 | 36% | Jeff Gorell*, REP | 86,132 | 52.9% |
| State Assemblymember District 32 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 45 | Votes | Percent |
| Rudy Salas, DEM | 38,759 | 52.9% | Bob Blumenfield*, DEM | 100,422 | 63.4% |
| Pedro A. Rios, REP | 34,476 | 47.1% | Chris Kolski, REP | 57,996 | 36.6% |
| State Assemblymember District 33 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 46 | Votes | Percent |
| John Coffey, DEM | 51,215 | 41% | Adrin Nazarian, DEM | 92,870 | 71% |
| Tim Donnelly*, REP | 73,836 | 59% | Jay L. Stern, REP | 37,928 | 29% |
| State Assemblymember District 34 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 47 | Votes | Percent |
| Mari Goodman, DEM | 47,254 | 30.8% | Cheryl R. Brown, DEM | 53,434 | 55.7% |
| Shannon Grove*, REP | 106,384 | 69.2% | Joe Baca, Jr., DEM | 42,475 | 44.3% |
| State Assemblymember District 35 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 48 | Votes | Percent |
| Gerald "Gerry" Manata, DEM | 65,500 | 38.7% | Roger Hernandez*, DEM | 74,642 | 59.4% |
| K.H. "Katcho" Achadjian*, REP | 103,762 | 61.3% | Joe M. Gardner, REP | 50,927 | 40.6% |
| State Assemblymember District 36 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 49 | Votes | Percent |
| Steve Fox, DEM | 66,005 | 50.1% | Edwin "Ed" Chau, DEM | 64,791 | 56.4% |
| Ron Smith, REP | 65,860 | 49.9% | Matthew Lin, REP | 50,153 | 43.6% |
| State Assemblymember District 37 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 50 | Votes | Percent |
| Das Williams*, DEM | 115,532 | 60.4% | Betsy Buller*, DEM | 91,740 | 49.5% |
| Rob Walter, REP | 75,643 | 39.6% | Richard Bloom, DEM | 93,445 | 50.5% |
| State Assemblymember District 38 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 51 | Votes | Percent |
| Edward Headington, DEM | 75,864 | 43.1% | Jimmy Gomez, DEM | 63,292 | 59.8% |
| Scott Thomas Wilk, REP | 100,069 | 56.9% | Luis Lopez, DEM | 42,618 | 40.2% |
| State Assemblymember District 39 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 52 | Votes | Percent |
| Rauf Bocanegra, DEM | 62,612 | 58.4% | Norma J. Torres*, DEM | 66,565 | 66% |
| Richard Alarcon, DEM | 44,624 | 41.6% | Kenny Coble, REP | 34,267 | 34% |

STATEMENT OF VOTE SUMMARY PAGES

| | | | | | |
|---|--------------|----------------|---|--------------|----------------|
| State Assemblymember District 53 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 66 | Votes | Percent |
| John A. Pérez*, DEM | 61,651 | 82.8% | Al Muratsuchi, DEM | 102,136 | 54.8% |
| Jose Trinidad Aguilar, REP | 12,803 | 17.2% | Craig Huey, REP | 84,372 | 45.2% |
| State Assemblymember District 54 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 67 | Votes | Percent |
| Holly J. Mitchell*, DEM | 143,530 | 83.3% | Melissa Melendez, REP | 67,232 | 52.3% |
| Keith Brandon Mc Cowen, REP | 28,688 | 16.7% | Phil Paule, REP | 61,230 | 47.7% |
| State Assemblymember District 55 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 68 | Votes | Percent |
| Gregg D. Fritchle, DEM | 65,652 | 40.3% | Christina Avalos, DEM | 67,448 | 39.2% |
| Curt Hagman*, REP | 97,330 | 59.7% | Donald P. (Don) Wagner*, REP | 104,706 | 60.8% |
| State Assemblymember District 56 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 69 | Votes | Percent |
| V. Manuel Perez*, DEM | 66,353 | 66.1% | Tom Daly, DEM | 56,951 | 67.6% |
| Corky Reynaga-Emett, REP | 34,038 | 33.9% | Jose "Joe" Moreno, REP | 27,354 | 32.4% |
| State Assemblymember District 57 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 70 | Votes | Percent |
| Ian Charles Calderon, DEM | 86,644 | 63.5% | Bonnie Lowenthal*, DEM | 100,676 | 65.8% |
| Noel A. Jaimes, REP | 49,832 | 36.5% | Martha Flores Gibson, REP | 52,321 | 34.2% |
| State Assemblymember District 58 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 71 | Votes | Percent |
| Cristina Garcia, DEM | 91,019 | 71.8% | Patrick J. Hurley, DEM | 62,330 | 36.9% |
| Patricia A. Kotze-Ramos, REP | 35,676 | 28.2% | Brian W. Jones*, REP | 106,663 | 63.1% |
| State Assemblymember District 59 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 72 | Votes | Percent |
| Reggie Jones-Sawyer, DEM | 40,519 | 52.3% | Travis Allen, REP | 79,110 | 55.7% |
| Rodney D. Robinson, DEM | 36,949 | 47.7% | Troy Edgar, REP | 62,983 | 44.3% |
| State Assemblymember District 60 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 73 | Votes | Percent |
| José Luis Perez, DEM | 56,405 | 48.2% | James Corbett, DEM | 72,196 | 35.7% |
| Eric Linder, REP | 60,638 | 51.8% | Diane L. Harkey*, REP | 130,030 | 64.3% |
| State Assemblymember District 61 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 74 | Votes | Percent |
| Jose Medina, DEM | 76,774 | 61% | Robert Rush, DEM | 84,520 | 43.4% |
| Bill Batey, REP | 49,003 | 39% | Allan R. Mansoor*, REP | 110,190 | 56.6% |
| State Assemblymember District 62 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 75 | Votes | Percent |
| Mervin Evans, DEM | 37,957 | 27.9% | Matthew Herold, DEM | 58,783 | 37.3% |
| Steven Bradford*, DEM | 98,047 | 72.1% | Marie Waldron, REP | 98,686 | 62.7% |
| State Assemblymember District 63 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 76 | Votes | Percent |
| Anthony Rendon, DEM | 76,258 | 74.5% | Rocky J. Chavez, REP | 88,295 | 58.2% |
| Jack M. Guerrero, REP | 26,093 | 25.5% | Sherry Hodges, REP | 63,526 | 41.8% |
| State Assemblymember District 64 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 77 | Votes | Percent |
| Isadore Hall, III*, DEM | 100,446 | 100% | Ruben "RJ" Hernandez, DEM | 75,824 | 39.9% |
| | | | Brian Maienschein, REP | 114,314 | 60.1% |
| State Assemblymember District 65 | Votes | Percent | State Assemblymember District 78 | Votes | Percent |
| Sharon Quirk-Silva, DEM | 68,988 | 52% | Toni Atkins*, DEM | 116,987 | 62.4% |
| Chris Norby*, REP | 63,576 | 48% | Ralph Denney, REP | 70,526 | 37.6% |

* Incumbent

STATEMENT OF VOTE SUMMARY PAGES

| | | | | | |
|---|--------------|----------------|---|--------------|----------------|
| State Assemblymember District 79 | Votes | Percent | Proposition 38 | Votes | Percent |
| Shirley Weber, DEM | 94,170 | 61.7% | Tax for Education. Early Childhood Programs | 3,541,199 | 28.7% |
| Mary England, REP | 58,424 | 38.3% | Yes | 8,789,892 | 71.3% |
| | | | No | | |
| State Assemblymember District 80 | Votes | Percent | Proposition 39 | Votes | Percent |
| Ben Hueso*, DEM | 76,225 | 69.6% | Business Tax for Energy Funding | 7,384,417 | 61.1% |
| Derrick W. Roach, REP | 33,260 | 30.4% | Yes | 4,701,563 | 38.9% |
| | | | No | | |
| Proposition 30 | Votes | Percent | Proposition 40 | Votes | Percent |
| Temporary Taxes to Fund Education | | | Redistricting State Senate | | |
| Yes | 7,014,114 | 55.4% | Yes | 8,354,158 | 71.9% |
| No | 5,653,637 | 44.6% | No | 3,258,740 | 28.1% |
| | | | | | |
| Proposition 31 | Votes | Percent | | | |
| State Budget, State and Local Government | | | | | |
| Yes | 4,642,088 | 39.5% | | | |
| No | 7,115,166 | 60.5% | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Proposition 32 | Votes | Percent | | | |
| Political Contributions by Payroll Deduction | | | | | |
| Yes | 5,400,218 | 43.4% | | | |
| No | 7,043,917 | 56.6% | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Proposition 33 | Votes | Percent | | | |
| Auto Insurance Prices Based on Driver History | | | | | |
| Yes | 5,510,282 | 45% | | | |
| No | 6,737,571 | 55% | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Proposition 34 | Votes | Percent | | | |
| Death Penalty | | | | | |
| Yes | 5,974,243 | 48% | | | |
| No | 6,460,264 | 52% | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Proposition 35 | Votes | Percent | | | |
| Human Trafficking | | | | | |
| Yes | 10,078,476 | 81.3% | | | |
| No | 2,310,612 | 18.7% | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Proposition 36 | Votes | Percent | | | |
| Three Strikes Law | | | | | |
| Yes | 8,575,619 | 69.3% | | | |
| No | 3,798,218 | 30.7% | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Proposition 37 | Votes | Percent | | | |
| Genetically Engineered Foods Labeling | | | | | |
| Yes | 6,088,714 | 48.6% | | | |
| No | 6,442,371 | 51.4% | | | |